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Abstract
We study the nonexistence result of radial solutions to $-\Delta u+$ $c \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}+|x|^{\sigma}|u|^{q} u \leq 0$ posed in $B$ or in $B \backslash\{0\}$ where $B$ is the unit ball centered at the origin in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 3$. Moreover, we give a complete classification of radial solutions to the problem $-\Delta u+c \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}+|x|^{\sigma}|u|^{q} u=0$. In particular we prove that the latter has exactly one family of radial solutions.

## 1. Introduction

The aim of the present note is to discuss the nonexistence result of solutions, in the class of radial function, to the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+c \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}+|x|^{\sigma}|u|^{q} u \leq 0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

posed in $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 3$, or in $B \backslash\{0\}$ where $B$ is the unit ball centered at the origin, $\sigma, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q$ is a nonnegative parameter larger than $(2+\sigma) /(N-2)$.

This paper is motivated by the results of [1]. The authors have proved that any solution, $u \in C^{2}(B \backslash\{0\})$, to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+|u|^{q} u=0, \quad \text { in } B \backslash\{0\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be extended to all $B$ when $q \geq \frac{2}{N-2}$. We obtain here sufficient conditions which guaranty that (1.1) and then (1.2) have no radial solution, and we complete the results obtained in [5].

The main ingredients used is to derive properties of solutions to

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime \prime}(t)+l v^{\prime}(t) \geq b v(t)+|v|^{q} v(t), \quad \text { for } t \geq 0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J60.
Key words. Radial solution, existence, blow up, classification, elliptic inequation.

As a consequence of the main result concerning (1.3) we give quite a complete classification of radial solutions to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-u^{\prime \prime}-\frac{N-1}{r} u^{\prime}+c \frac{u}{r^{2}}+r^{\sigma}|u|^{q} u=0, \quad \text { in } r_{0}<r<1 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the condition

$$
u(1)=\alpha, \quad u^{\prime}(1)=\gamma,
$$

where $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r_{0} \geq 0$. In particular we prove that

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
-\Delta u+c \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}+|u|^{q} u & =0, & & \text { in } B, \\
u & =\alpha, & \text { in } \partial B,
\end{array}
$$

has no nontrivial radial solution for any $\gamma \neq f(\alpha)$.

## 2. Properties of radial subsolutions

Let $B$ denotes the unit ball centered at the origin in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 3$, and $q>0$. We are concerned with the existence and the nonexistence of radial solutions to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+c \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}+|x|^{\sigma}|u|^{q} u=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

posed in the domain $B$ or $B \backslash\{0\}$. To begin with, we give in this section some results concerning radial solutions of the following problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+c \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}+|x|^{\sigma}|u|^{q} u \leq 0, \quad 0<r_{0}<|x|<1 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We capture our conclusions in the following.
Theorem 2.1. Let $q>0$, assume that

$$
\frac{(2+\sigma)(N-2)}{q^{2}}\left\{q-\frac{2+\sigma}{N-2}\right\}+c \geq 0
$$

Then the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-u^{\prime \prime}-\frac{N-1}{r} u^{\prime}+c \frac{u}{r^{2}}+r^{\sigma}|u|^{q} u \leq 0, \quad \text { in } 0<r<1 \\
-u^{\prime}(1) \geq \frac{2+\sigma}{q} u(1), u(1)>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

has no solution.

Since the function $v(x)=\lambda^{\frac{2+\sigma}{q}} u(\lambda x)$ satisfies the same equation it is natural to consider the function

$$
v(t):=r^{\frac{2+\sigma}{q}} u(r),
$$

where

$$
t:=-\log (r)
$$

In view of (2.2) $v$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime \prime}+l v^{\prime} \geq b v+|v|^{q} v, \quad t \in\left(0, T_{0}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
l:=\frac{N-2}{q}\left\{\frac{2(2+\sigma)}{N-2}-q\right\}, \quad T_{0} \in(0,+\infty]
$$

and

$$
b:=\frac{(2+\sigma)(N-2)}{q^{2}}\left\{q-\frac{2+\sigma}{N-2}\right\}+c .
$$

For the proof of Theorem 2.1 we shall show.
Lemma 2.1. Let $v$ be a solution to (2.3) such that $b \geq 0$, and suppose that

$$
v(0)>0, \quad v^{\prime}(0) \geq 0
$$

Then $v$ blows up at finite point $T^{\star} \geq T_{0}$, i.e.,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow T^{\star-}} v(t)=+\infty
$$

Proof: By continuity of $v$ we have $v>0$ on $(0, \varepsilon)$, and then $v^{\prime}>0$ on $(0, \varepsilon)$, thanks to (2.3). Assume that $v$ has a positive local maximum at $t_{0}$. Using (2.3) we arrive at $v^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \geq b v\left(t_{0}\right)+v^{q+1}\left(t_{0}\right)>0$. This is impossible, then $v^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$, for any $t$. Suppose now $v^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ and $v^{\prime}>0$ on $\left(t_{0}-\varepsilon, t_{0}\right)$. Using again (2.3) one sees $v^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)>0$ and then $v^{\prime}<0$ on ( $t_{0}-\varepsilon, t_{0}$ ), a contradiction. This shows in particular that if $v(t)$ exists on $\left(0, T_{0}\right)$ then necessarily

$$
v>0, \quad v^{\prime}>0
$$

on $\left(0, T_{0}\right)$. From now on we assume that $T_{0}=+\infty$. It is clear that $v$ goes to infinity with $t$. Otherwise there exists $t_{n}$ converging to infinity (since $v$ has a finite limit at infinity) such that $v^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)$ tends to 0 . Integrating the inequality of $v$ over $\left(0, t_{n}\right)$ and passing to the limit yield

$$
-v^{\prime}(0)+l(v(\infty)-v(0)) \geq \int_{0}^{\infty} v\left(b+v^{q}\right) d s
$$

which implies immediately that $v$ is integrable and then $v(\infty)=0$. This is impossible. Now as in $[8]$ the function $w$ defined by

$$
w=\frac{v^{2}}{2}
$$

satisfies

$$
w^{\prime \prime} \geq\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}+b v^{2}+\frac{2+q}{2} w^{\frac{2+q}{2}}-l v v^{\prime} .
$$

Hence, we have

$$
w^{\prime \prime} \geq 2^{\frac{2+q}{2}} w^{\frac{2+q}{2}}
$$

if $l \leq 0$, and if $l>0$,

$$
w^{\prime \prime} \geq C w^{\frac{2+q}{2}}
$$

for $t$ large enough, thanks to Young's inequality. Therefore $w$ develops a singularity in a finite point, a contradiction. This ends the proof.

Note that, as inequation (2.3) is autonomous, if there exists $t_{0} \in$ $\left(0, T_{0}\right)$ such that $v\left(t_{0}\right)>0$ and $v^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \geq 0$ the conclusion of the precedent lemma remains true. The following result shows that solutions to (2.3) may blow up at a finite point in the case where $v^{\prime}(0)<0$. This shows in particular that the condition, $v^{\prime}(0) \geq 0$, is not essential as it seems to be asserted in [8, Remark 1.2, p. 295] (see Figure 3.1). To be more precise we have.

Lemma 2.2. Assume that $l$ and $b$ are positive. Let $v$ be a solution to (2.3) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(0)>0, \quad 0<-v^{\prime}(0) \leq \sqrt{b v^{2}(0)+\frac{2}{q+2} v^{2+q}(0)} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $v$ cannot be global.
Proof: In view of hypothesis (2.4) $v$ is nonnegative decreasing function for small $t$. Suppose that there exists $t_{0} \in\left(0, T_{0}\right)$ such that $v>0, v^{\prime}<0$ on $\left[0, t_{0}\right), v^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ and $v\left(t_{0}\right)>0$. It follows from above that $v$ blows up at a finite point. Now assume that $v\left(t_{0}\right)=0\left(\right.$ and then $\left.v^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \leq 0\right)$.

Define

$$
H(t)=\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}(t)-b v^{2}(t)-\frac{2}{q+2} v^{q+2}(t)
$$

Using inequation (2.3) and the fact that $v^{\prime} \leq 0$ on $\left(0, t_{0}\right)$ we deduce that the function $H$ is decreasing on $\left[0, t_{0}\right]$. Hence $H(0) \geq H(t) \geq 0$ for any $t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right]$. Therefore $H(t)=0$, in $\left(0, t_{0}\right)$ which implies

$$
-v^{\prime}=\sqrt{b v^{2}+\frac{2}{q+2} v^{q+2}}, \quad \forall 0 \leq t \leq t_{0}
$$

Using this and (2.3) we get a contradiction. Now assume that $v$ is global. This shows immediately that $v>0$ on $(0, \infty)$ and $v^{\prime}(t)<0$ for any $t \geq 0$. Arguing as above we deduce that $v$ and then $H$ tend to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $H(t)=0$ for all $t$, a contradiction.

Corollary 2.1. Let $l \geq 0, \alpha>0$ and $\beta$ fixed, set $b_{c}:=\left[\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}-\frac{2}{q+2} \alpha^{q}\right]_{+}$, where $[\cdot]_{+}=\max \{\cdot, 0\}$.

Then any solution to the following differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime \prime}+l v^{\prime} \geq b v+|v|^{q} v, \quad v(0)=\alpha, v^{\prime}(0)=\beta \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

blows up at a finite point for any $b \geq b_{c}$.
Remark 2.1. Consider

$$
v_{s}(t):=\alpha e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha} t}
$$

where $\alpha>0$ and $\beta<0$. The function $v_{s}$ will be a solution to (2.1) provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}+l \frac{\beta}{\alpha}-\alpha^{q} \geq b \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently if we return to the original variables, $x$ and $u$, the function

$$
u_{s}(x)=\alpha|x|^{-\frac{2+\sigma}{q}-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}
$$

satisfies (2.3).
Remark 2.2. We point out that condition (2.4) reads

$$
\beta<0, \quad \frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}-\frac{2}{q+2} \alpha^{q} \leq b
$$

and the last inequality prevents $v$ to be zero. This property is the key of the proof of Lemma 2.2. Now if we impose the following

$$
\beta<0, \quad \frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}-\frac{2}{q+2} \alpha^{q}>b
$$

where $\alpha>0$, can one prove that $v$ is global?

In the case of equality in (2.3) instead of inequality, we shall see that if there exists a finite time $t_{0}$ such that $v\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ and $v^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \neq 0$ then $v$ is not global.

## 3. Classification of radial solutions

In this section we are interested in radial solutions to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+c \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}+|x|^{\sigma}|u|^{q} u=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

posed in the domain $\left\{r_{0}<|x|<1\right\}, r_{0} \geq 0$.
By using the shooting argument we give a complete classification of solutions according to their boundary data $u(1)$. As in the preceding section it is enough to study the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime \prime}+l v^{\prime}=b v+|v|^{q} v, \quad t \in\left(0, T_{\alpha, \beta}\right), \quad T_{\alpha, \beta} \leq \infty \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(0)=\alpha, \quad v^{\prime}(0)=\beta, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l:=\frac{N-2}{q}\left\{\frac{2(2+\sigma)}{N-2}-q\right\}, \\
& b:=\frac{(2+\sigma)(N-2)}{q^{2}}\left\{q-\frac{2+\sigma}{N-2}\right\}+c,
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\alpha, \beta$ are real parameters. Since the function $-v$ is also a solution of (3.2) we restrict our considerations to solutions of (3.2)-(3.3) where $\alpha \geq 0$. This problem has a unique local solution, $v_{\alpha, \beta} \in C^{2}([0, \varepsilon))$, we shall investigate whether it admits an entire extension, and its properties. We assume that $b \geq 0$. Let us note that if there exists $t_{0} \in\left[0, T_{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ such that $v\left(t_{0}\right)>0$ and $v^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \geq 0$ then $v_{\alpha, \beta}$ is not global; that is $T_{\alpha, \beta}<\infty$. And then $\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{\alpha, \beta}^{-}} v_{\alpha, \beta}(t)=+\infty$. This proves immediately.
Proposition 3.1. Let $v_{0, \beta}$ be the solution to (3.2)-(3.3) where $\alpha=0$. If $T_{0, \beta}=\infty$ then $\beta=0$ and therefore $v_{0, \beta} \equiv 0$.
Proof: Assume $\beta>0$. Then $v_{0, \beta}(\varepsilon)>0$ and $v_{0, \beta}^{\prime}(\varepsilon)>0$ for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough. And then $v_{0, \beta}$ is not global. Now if $\beta<0$, we consider $-v_{0, \beta}$ instead of $v_{0, \beta}$.

The following result gives the complete classification of solutions to (3.2)-(3.3) in terms of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ (see Figure 3.1). In particular we prove, by using the phase plane method that the problem has exactly two non trivial global solutions, up to translations in $t$, in the case where $b>0$.

Theorem 3.1. Assume $b>0$. Let $v_{\alpha, \beta}$ be the unique local solution to (3.2)-(3.3) and $\left(0, T_{\alpha, \beta}\right)$ be the maximal interval of existence. There exists exactly two nontrivial global solutions $\left(T_{\alpha_{c}, \beta_{c}}=\infty\right) \pm v_{\alpha_{c}, \beta_{c}}$ where $\alpha_{c}>0, \beta_{c}<0$, and $v_{\alpha_{c}, \beta_{c}}$ satisfies

$$
v_{\alpha_{c}, \beta_{c}}(t)=(A+o(1)) e^{-\frac{l+\sqrt{l^{2}+4 b}}{2} t}
$$

as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, moreover there exists a unique continuous function, $f$, such that $f\left(\alpha_{c}\right)=\beta_{c}, f(0)=0$ and for any $(\alpha, \beta) \notin\{ \pm(\gamma, f(\gamma)), \gamma \geq 0\}$ we have

$$
T_{\alpha, \beta}<\infty
$$

Proof: It is obvious that $T_{\alpha, \beta}<\infty$ if $\alpha \cdot \beta>0$. Suppose that $\alpha>0$ and $\beta<0$. One of the following three possibilities holds:
i) $v_{\alpha, \beta}$ is nonnegative global function and goes to 0 ,
ii) $v_{\alpha, \beta}$ is nonnegative and $T_{\alpha, \beta}<\infty$,
iii) $v_{\alpha, \beta}$ changes sign.

Assume that item iii) holds. Set $w=-v_{\alpha, \beta}$. Clearly we have

$$
w\left(t_{0}\right)=0, \text { and } w^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)>0
$$

Since $w$ satisfies equation (3.1) we deduce that $w$ blows-up; that is $T_{\alpha, \beta}<\infty$.

For the first case we investigate in more detail how ( $v_{\alpha, \beta}, v_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$ ) behaves in the phase plane as $t$ increases. Equation (3.2) is reduced to the first order system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v^{\prime}=w,  \tag{3.4}\\
w^{\prime}=b v-l w+|v|^{q} v,
\end{array}\right.
$$

with the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(0)=\alpha, \quad w(0)=\beta . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This system has only one critical point $(0,0)$. The linearization at the equilibrium gives

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v^{\prime}=w  \tag{3.6}\\
w^{\prime}=b v-l w
\end{array}\right.
$$

The corresponding eigenvalues are

$$
\lambda_{1}=\frac{-l+\sqrt{l^{2}+4 b}}{2}, \quad \lambda_{2}=-\frac{l+\sqrt{l^{2}+4 b}}{2} .
$$

It follows from the standard theory of dynamical systems that $(0,0)$ is a saddle point. This means that (3.4)-(3.5) has exactly two nontrivial solutions, $\pm v_{\alpha_{c}, \beta_{c}}$, which converge to 0 as $t$ goes to infinity. Moreover

$$
v_{\alpha_{c}, \beta_{c}}(t)=(A+o(1)) e^{-\frac{l+\sqrt{l^{2}+4 b}}{2} t}
$$

for sufficiently large $t$.

As a consequence of this theorem we have

Theorem 3.2. Let $\Omega=B \backslash\{0\}$ or $B$. There exists a unique continuous function $F$ such that for any $u \in C^{2}(\Omega)$ radial solution to

$$
-\Delta u+c \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}+|x|^{\sigma}|u|^{q} u=0, \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

where

$$
q>0, \quad \frac{(2+\sigma)(N-2)}{q^{2}}\left\{q-\frac{2+\sigma}{N-2}\right\}+c>0
$$

we have

$$
\left(u(x), \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x)\right)= \pm(\alpha, F(\alpha))
$$

on $\partial B$, for some real $\alpha$, where $\nu$ is the unit outward normal to $\partial \Omega$.



Figure 3.1. Classification of solutions according to $v(0)$ and $v^{\prime}(0)(b>0)$.

## 4. Properties of radial solutions to

$$
-\Delta u+c \frac{x}{|x|} \nabla u+|u|^{q} u=0
$$

We end this paper by another application of the results of Section 2. Let us consider the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+c \frac{x}{|x|} \nabla u+|u|^{q} u=0, \quad \text { in } B \backslash\{0\}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q>0$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. This equation appears in the study of solutions to $-\Delta u+|u|^{q} u=0$ which are singular on some submanifold [3]. Here we shall complete the properties of radial solutions obtained in [3], so we consider the following problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-u_{r r}-\frac{N-1}{r} u_{r}+c u_{r}+|u|^{q} u=0, \quad 0<r<1 . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the function $w$ defined by

$$
w(t)=r^{\frac{2}{q}} u(r), \quad t=-\log (r)
$$

we give some properties of global solutions to the following ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
w^{\prime \prime}+\left[\frac{4}{q}+2-\right. & \left.N-c e^{-t}\right] w^{\prime}  \tag{4.3}\\
& =\frac{2}{q}\left[N-2-\frac{2}{q}+\frac{2 c}{q} e^{-t}\right] w+|w|^{q} w, \quad t>0
\end{align*}
$$

where $c$ is real parameter.
Proposition 4.1. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume $q>\frac{2}{N-2}$. Then any global non trivial solution, $w$, to (4.3), is asymptotically monotone, goes to 0 and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t)=A\left(e^{-\frac{2}{q} t}+o(1)\right), \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

as t approaches infinity.
Proof: Let $w$ be a global solution to (4.3). Assume that there exists a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ converging to infinity with $n$ such that $w^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)=0, w\left(t_{n}\right)$ is local minimum and (from the equation)

$$
w^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{n}\right)=\left[\frac{2}{q}\left(N-2-\frac{2}{q}+\frac{2 c}{q} e^{-t_{n}}\right)+\left|w\left(t_{n}\right)\right|^{q}\right] w\left(t_{n}\right) .
$$

Thus $w\left(t_{n}\right)>0$. For fixed $n$ large, the function $v(t)=w\left(t+t_{n}\right)$, satisfies (4.3) with $c e^{-t_{n}}$ instead of $c$, moreover, $v(0)>0$ and $v^{\prime}(0)=0$. Using the result of Section 2 we deduce that $v$ is not global which is impossible. Therefore $w$ is asymptotically monotone. Now since $-w$ satisfies (4.3), we may assume that

$$
w>0, \quad w^{\prime}<0
$$

on $\left(t_{0},+\infty\right), t_{0}$ for some $t_{0}$ large. A simple integration of equation (4.3) implies that $w$ approaches 0 as $t$ tends infinity and then $w^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, by using the function $H=\frac{1}{2}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{q+2} w^{q+2}$.

Next to get (4.4) we put

$$
x(t)=e^{-t}, \quad v=w^{\prime}
$$

then the function $(w, v, x)$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
w^{\prime}= & v  \tag{4.5}\\
v^{\prime}= & \frac{2}{q}\left[N-2-\frac{2}{q}+\frac{2 c}{q} e^{-t}\right] w \\
& -\left[\frac{4}{q}+2-N-c e^{-t}\right] v+|w|^{q} w \\
x^{\prime}= & -x
\end{align*}\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}(w, v, x)=(0,0,0) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The characteristic roots of the linearized system of (4.5) are

$$
\lambda_{1}=-\frac{2}{q}, \quad \lambda_{2}=N-2-\frac{2}{q}, \quad \lambda_{3}=-1 .
$$

Then any solution to (4.5)-(4.6) satisfies

$$
\frac{v}{w}=-\frac{2}{q}+o(1)
$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and this implies (4.4).
Remark 4.1. If we return to the function $u$ we get that the limit

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} u(r)=A
$$

exists. This means that the singularity is removable [3], [9].
Remark 4.2. In [4] a similar result is obtained for

$$
\gamma^{2} w^{\prime \prime}+\left(\gamma^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \gamma e^{-2 t / \gamma}\right) w^{\prime}+\lambda w+w^{q}=0
$$

where $\gamma>0$ and $\lambda<0$. This equation appears in the study of positive radial solutions to

$$
\Delta u-\frac{1}{2} x \cdot \nabla u-\frac{1}{q-1} u+u^{q}=0
$$

in $B \backslash\{0\}$. It is shown that if $\frac{2}{N-2}<q<\frac{4}{N-2}$ then $w$ has a finite limit at infinity.

The following is an extension of Proposition 4.1.

Proposition 4.2. Assume $q>\frac{2}{N-2}$. Let $u \in C^{2}(B \backslash\{0\})$ be a radial solution to

$$
-\Delta u+c \frac{x}{|x|} u+|u|^{q} u=0
$$

in $B \backslash\{0\}$, vanishing on $\partial B$. Then $u \equiv 0$.
The situation is different in the case where $q<\frac{2}{N-2}$. In $[\mathbf{3}]$ it is shown the existence of radial positive function $u_{\gamma} \in L^{q+1}(B) \cap C^{2}(B \backslash\{0\})$ such that

$$
-\Delta u_{\gamma}+c \frac{x}{|x|} u_{\gamma}+\left|u_{\gamma}\right|^{q} u_{\gamma}=\gamma(N-2)\left|S^{N-1}\right| \delta_{0}, \quad \text { in } D^{\prime}(B \backslash\{0\})
$$

and vanishing on $\partial B$ for all $\gamma>0$.
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