POLYNOMIAL RINGS OVER JACOBSON-HILBERT RINGS

CARL FAITH

Abstract

All rings considered are commutative with unit. A ring R is SISI (in Vamos' terminology) if every subdirectly irreducible factor ring R/I is self-injective. SISI rings include Noetherian rings, Morita rings, and almost maximal valuation rings ([V1]). In [F3] we raised the question of whether a polynomial ring R[x] over a SISI ring R is again SISI. In this paper we show this is not the case.

1. Introduction

The counter-example to the above is provided by the theorem below proved in $\oint 4$.

1.0. Theorem. For every field K and local injective module E of K[x](= the injective hull of a simple k[x]- module), the split-null extension A = (K[x], E) is subdirectly irreducible, and a factor ring of R[x], where R is the split- null extension (K, N) and N is any vector space over K of dimension not less than that of E.

By Example 3.4B, R is SISI, but R[x] is not. (See $\oint 4$ for the proof.)

This leaves open the question: Is R[x]SISI for a Vámos, or even Morita, ring R?. We also settle another question raised in [F3] by showing that not every SISI ring is "Monica". To define this term, we need two other concepts: (1) an ideal I of co-subdirectly irreducible (= COSI) if R/I is subdirectly irreducible ring; (2) an ideal I of R[x] is monic if it contains a monic polynomial $\neq 0$ (equivalently, R[x]/I is a finitely generated canonical R-module.)

In connection with (2), we first remark:

1.1. Theorem. R is a Jacobson-Hilbert ring iff every maximal ideal of R[x] is monic.

The necessity is stated as an exercise in [K].

Now define *Monica* ring to a ring R such that every COSI ideal of R[x] is monic. As a corollary to Theorem 1.1, we prove:

1.2. Theorem. A Noetherian ring R is Monica iff R is Jacobson-Hilbert.

Since any Noetherian ring R is Vamosian (see $\oint 3$), hence SISI, this shows these rings need not be Monica. (Cf. Theorem 6.1 which asserts that Von Neumann regular rings are Monica.)

We call a ring R an H-ring (after Camillo) if every factor ring modulo a COSI ideal is a local ring. Obviously any SISI ring is an H-ring. Moreover:

1.3. Theorem. A Jacobson-Hilbert ring R is Monica if R[x] is an H-ring.

In Theorem 1.0, R is trivially an H-ring (since R is local) but R[x] is not (since A is not a local ring). See Theorem 2.5 for a proof of Theorem 1.3.

Every Morita ring is 1.c. (See $\oint 3.$) A conjecture of Zelinsky-Mueller-Vámos (ZMVC) is that every 1.c. ring R is Morita. In [F5] we prove that ZMVC is equivalent to the assertion that every 1.c. ring is SISI.

2. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

A domain R is a G-domain if its quotient field $K = Q_C(R)$ has the equivalent properties:

(G-1) $K = R[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$, for finitely many elements a_1, \ldots, a_n

(G-2) K = R[a], for some $a \in K$.

An ideal I is a G-ideal iff I satisfies the equivalent properties:

(G-A) R/I is a G-domain

(G-A) Some maximal ideal M of R[x] contracts to I.

Let rad A denote the Jacobson radical of A for any ring A, i.e. the intersection of all maximal ideals of A. An ideal I is a J-ideal if the e.c.'s hold:

(1) rad (R/I) = 0

(2) I is an intersection of maximal ideals.

Let nil rad R denote the maximal nil ideal of R, i.e.

$$\operatorname{nil} \operatorname{rad} R = \{a \in R \mid \exists_n a^n = 0\}$$

If I is an ideal, then \sqrt{I} is the classical radical of I, namely the ideal such that

$$\sqrt{I/I} = \operatorname{nil} \operatorname{rad} (R/I).$$

R is a Jacobson-Hilbert ring if R satisfies the e.c.'s:

(J-1) Every G-ideal is maximal

(J-2) \forall ideals I, \sqrt{I} is a *J*-ideal, i.e.

$$\sqrt{I/I} = \operatorname{nil} \operatorname{rad} R/I = \operatorname{rad} R/I$$

(J-3) Every (semi) prime ideal is a J-ideal

(J-4) Every G-ideal is a J-ideal

(J-5) For all maximal ideals M of R[x], $M \cap R$ is a maximal ideal of R.

See, e.g., [K], or [G] and [Kr]. (A Jacobson-Hilbert ring is called a Hilbert ring in [K], and Jacobson ring in [Kr], and in Bourbaki.)

2.1. Proposition. A maximal ideal M of R[x] is monic iff M contracts to a maximal ideal of R.

Proof: Let $M_O = M \cap R$ be maximal in R. Now $M \supseteq M_O[x]$, and $M \neq M_O[x]$ that is, $M_O[X]$ is not maximal in R[X], since

$$S = R[x]/M_O[x] \approx R/M_O[x]$$

a polynomial ring over a field; thus $M/M_O[x]$ is a monic ideal of S, hence M is monic in R[x].

Conversely, let M be a monic ideal of R[x]. The domain $\overline{R} = R/M_O$ embeds canonically in the field A = R[x]/M. Let

$$p(x) = x^{n+1} - \alpha_O x^n - \dots - \alpha_n \in M$$

be a monic polynomial with $\alpha_i \in R$. For $0 \neq \bar{d} \in \bar{R}$, $p(d^*) \in M$ where $dd^* \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$, i.e. $\bar{d}^* = \bar{d}^1$; hence

$$d^{-(n+1)} = \bar{\alpha}_0 d^{-n} + \dots + \bar{\alpha}_n$$

so

$$1 = (\bar{\alpha}_0 + \dots + \bar{\alpha}_n \bar{d}^n) \bar{d} \pmod{M}$$

that is,

$$\bar{d}^{-1} = \bar{\alpha}_0 + \dots + \bar{\alpha}_n \bar{d}^n \in \bar{R}$$

so \hat{R} is a field, hence M_O is maximal, as required.

We say that a ring R is a maxmonica ring if all maximal ideals of R[x] are monic.

2.2. Theorem. A ring R is a maxmonica ring iff R is Jacobson-Hilbert.

Proof: By J-5 and Proposition 2.1, any Jacobson-Hilbert ring is a maxmonica ring. Conversely, if R is maxmonica, every G-ideal of R is maximal by the proposition, i.e. R is Jacobson-Hilbert (J-1).

2.3. Theorem. A Noetherian ring R is Monica iff R is Jacobson-Hilbert.

Proof: One way by the last theorem. Conversely, if R is Jacobson-Hilbert, and I in R[x] is COSI, then A = R[x]/I is QF (since Noetherian rings are SISI by [V1]; also see 3.3B below in $\oint 3$), hence A is Artinian with nilpotent radical M/I. Then M is a maximal ideal = \sqrt{I} , so M monic implies I monic.

2.4. Proposition. If R is a local Jacobson-Hilbert ring with radical J, then an ideal I of R[x] is contained in just finitely many maximal ideals (equivalently I is co-semilocal) iff I is monic.

Proof: If k = R/J, then k[x] is a principal ideal domain, and hence every nonzero ideal is co-semilocal in fact co-Artinian. Since k[x] = R[x]/J[x], the same is true for any ideal K of R[x] containing J[x] properly. Now every maximal ideal of R[x] containing I also contains I + J[x], which properly contains J[x] if I is monic, so there are only finitely of them.

The converse does not use the local ring hypothesis. Since R Jacobson-Hilbert implies that R[x] is Jacobson-Hilbert, if R[x]/I is semilocal, then \sqrt{I}/I is the intersection of just finitely many maximal ideals $\{M_i/I\}_{i=1}^n$, so $\sqrt{I} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n M_i$ contains the product $\prod_{i=1}^n M_i$. But each M_i is monic by Theorem 1.1, hence $\prod_{i=1}^n M_i$ whence \sqrt{I} , whence I is monic.

2.5. Theorem. The f.a.e.c.'s on R:

- (1) R is Jacobson-Hilbert
- (2) Every ideal I of R[x] contained in a unique maximal ideal is monic.
- (3) Every ideal I of R[x] contained in just finitely many maximal ideals is monic.

Proof: $(1)\Rightarrow(3)$ by the proof of one part (the converse) of the last theorem, and $(3)\Rightarrow(1)$ by Theorem 1.1. Similarly, for $(1)\Leftrightarrow(2)$.

A corollary of Theorem 2.5 is Theorem 1.3 (See Proposition 5.4.)

3. Morita, Vamosian, and SISI Rings

A ring R is Vamosian, or a Vámos ring, provided that the injective hull E(R/M) is linearly compact (1.c.) in the discrete topology for all maximal ideals M. See [V1] and [F3] for background, and the basic theorems. We list a few of these:

3.1. Locally Noetherian rings, i.e. R_M is Noetherian for $M \in \max R$ (see [V1]). Any polynomial ring R[x] is then locally Noetherian ([F3]). The basic facts harken back to Matlis' classic paper [Ma].

3.2. Morita rings, i.e. both R and the minimal injective cogenerator E over R are 1.c. R-modules (Mueller [Mu]). An equivalent formulation:

$$R = \operatorname{End}_R F$$

canonically, where F is an injective cogenerator of mod-R (Morita [Mo]). Then there is a Morita duality induced by $\operatorname{Hom}_R(, F)$ on the 1.c. R-modules. If R_M is Noetherian, then $A = \operatorname{End}_R E(R/M)$ is 1.c., and $\operatorname{Hom}_A(, E(R/M))$ induces a Morita duality on the 1.c. modules ([Ma],[Mo] and [Mu]). 3.3A. A ring R is right PF provided R is an injective cogenerator as a right R-module, equivalently, R is right self-injective, and has finite essential right socle. Then, by Morita's theorem, there is a Morita duality, induced by $\operatorname{Hom}_R(, R)$, when R is a 2-sided PF ring.

3.3B. The QF (= quasi-Frobenius rings are the Artinian (or Noetherian) right (or left) self-injective rings. Every QF ring is right and left Artinian and right and left PF; and conversely a left or right Artinian or Noetherian right or left PF ring is QF. (See, e.g. [F2], Chap. 24 ff.)

3.4A. Theorem (Vámos). Morita rings are Vamosian, and Vámos rings are SISI, but not conversely.

3.4B. Example (Vámos [V1]) Let R be any local ring with square-zero radical N. Then, R is SISI, and f.a.e.:

- (1) R is Vámos
- (2) R is Morita
- (3) $\dim_{R/N} N < \infty$.

Proof: This is essentially in [V1]. If I is COSI in R, then either I = N or $I \subset N$. In the latter case N/I must be simple, so A/I is Artinian of length 2. Now any semilocal Vamosian ring has finite uniform or Goldie dimension [V1], hence R is Vámos (Morita) if (3) holds.

3.4C. By [V1] and [F3], R is locally SISI iff every local endomorphism ring $(= \operatorname{End}_R E(V))$, where V is a simple R-module, and E(V) is its injective hull) is commutative.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.0

A subdirect irreducible (injective) module is an (injective) module E with simple essential socle V. In case E is injective, then E = E(V) is a local injective module ([F3]).

Proof: Theorem 1.0 is one of those increasingly familiar theorems in which the statement contains the proof (practically).

Any injective module E over a ring T is divisible by all regular elements of T, hence for a domain T = K[x], E is divisible. This implies that (0, E) is a waist in A since if $(a, x) \in A$, and $a \neq 0$, then

$$(a, x)(0, E) = (0, aE) = (0, E).$$

Since E is subdirect irreducible, this implies that A is also.

Let c be a cardinal of a generating set for E over K[x], let F be the free K[x]-module on c letters, so there is an exact sequence of K[x]-modules

$$(3.5.1) 0 \to I \to F \to E \to 0.$$

Note that if $N = L^{(c)}$, the direct sum of c copies of K, then

(3.5.2)
$$F = K[x]^{(c)} \approx K^{(c)}[x] = N[x].$$

Now N is a K-module and the split-null extension R = (K, N) has the required property, namely, there is a ring epimorphism

$$R[x] \rightarrow A.$$

We use without proof the fact that there is a ring isomorphism

$$h \begin{cases} R[x] \approx (K[x], N[x]) \\ \sum_{i=0}^{t} (\alpha_i, n_i) x^i \to \left[\sum_{i=0}^{t} \alpha_i x^i, \sum_{i=0}^{t} n_i x^i \right] \end{cases}$$

for $\alpha_i \in K, n_i \in N, i = 0, \ldots, t < \infty$.

Then, we use the ring homomorphism

$$\begin{cases} (K[x], N[x]) \longrightarrow A = (K[x], E) \\ (f_1(x), f_2(x)) \longrightarrow (f_1(x), \overline{f_2(x)}) \end{cases}$$

where $f_1(x) \in K[x], f_2(x) \in N[x]$, and $f_2(x)$ maps onto $\overline{f_2(x)}$ under the K[x]-module homomorphism $N[x] \to E$ defined by (3.5.1) and (3.5.2). (Hint: use the fact that $\overline{f(x)g(x)} = \overline{f(x)g(x)}$ for $f(x) \in K[x]$ and $g(x) \in N[x]$, i.e. $N[x] \to E$ is a K[x]-module homomorphism.)

Finally, since A is not a local ring (also not self-injective), then R[x] is neither a SISI, nor H ring.

We proof a partial converse of Theorem 1.0.

4.1. Theorem. If I is a non-monic COSI ideal of a polynomial ring R[x] over a Jacobson-Hilbert local ring R, then $I \subset N[x]$, where

$$N = rad R = nil rad R.$$

Next assume $N^2 = 0$. Then A = R[x]/I is the trivial extension (K[x], E) where

$$E = \overline{N[x]} = N[x]/I$$

is divisible, hence injective, whence local injective K[x]-module.

Proof: A Jacobson-Hilbert local ring R has nil Jacobson radical since the nil radical N must be the (intersection of the) unique maximal ideal. Then \sqrt{I} contains N[x], and since

$$R[x]/N[x] \approx R/N[x]$$

and R/N is a Monica ring, then $\sqrt{I} = N[x]$, consequently $I \subseteq N[x]$. But $I \neq N[x]$, since N[x] is not COSI.

Now let $N^2 = 0$. We first assume $I \cap R = 0$. If $0 \neq \alpha \in N$, then $\alpha \in I$, hence

 $\overline{\alpha R[x]} \supseteq V$

where V = soc A, and $\overline{f(x)}$ is the image of any $f(x) \in R[x]$, under the canonical map $R[x] \to A$. Let V = (v), and write

$$v = \bar{\alpha} \overline{g(x)}$$

for some $g(x) \in R[x]$. If $V \approx R[x]/M$, where M is maximal in R[x], then M is generated modulo N[x] by a monic polynomial m(x), and hence $\overline{M} = (\overline{m}, \overline{N[x]})$. Since $\overline{N[x]}^2 = 0$, then $\overline{g(x)} \notin N[x]$. Write

$$g(x) = m^t g_1 + h$$

where

$$(m,g_1)=1 \pmod{N[x]},$$

and $h \in N[x]$, $t \ge 0$. Since $N^2 = 0$, then $\alpha h = 0$, hence

$$v = \overline{\alpha g(x)} = \alpha \bar{m}^t \bar{g_1}$$

Now $\bar{m}v = 0$, hence

$$\overline{\alpha m}^{t+1}\overline{g_1}=0.$$

But the regular elements of A are those $\overline{f(x)}$ with $f(x) \notin M$, i.e. $\overline{g_1}$ is regular, so $\overline{\alpha m}^{t+1} = 0$, that is, $\overline{\alpha}$ annihilates a power of \overline{m} .

Expressed otherwise,

(1)
$$N \approx \overline{N} \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\bar{m}^n)^{\perp}$$

where \bar{f}^{\perp} is the annihilator in A of any $\bar{f} \in A$.

It is easy to see that if $Q \supseteq I$ is such that

$$\bar{Q} = \cap \bar{m}^n,$$

then

$$\bar{Q} = \overline{mQ} = \bar{m}^n \bar{Q} \,\forall n \ge 0.$$

This follows, since if $\bar{q} \in \bar{Q}$, then

$$\bar{q}=\overline{m}\bar{a}_1=\bar{m}^2\bar{a}_2=\ldots$$

for suitable $\bar{a}_i \in A$, and then

$$a_1 - \bar{m}a_2 \in \bar{m}^\perp$$
.

Since

$$V=ar{M}^{ot}=ar{m}^{ot}\cap\overline{N[x]}^{ot}=ar{m}^{ot}\cap\overline{N[x]}=ar{m}^{ot},$$

is contained in every ideal $\neq 0$ of A, the $\bar{m}^{\perp} \subseteq (\bar{m})$, so $\bar{a}_1 \in (\bar{m})$. By induction, every $\bar{a}_i \in (\bar{m})$, consequently $\bar{a}_1 \in (\bar{m}^i) \forall_i$. This proves that $\bar{a}_1 \in \bar{Q}$, hence that $\bar{Q} \subseteq \bar{m}Q$, that is, $\bar{Q} = \bar{m}Q$, whence $\bar{Q} = \bar{m}^n \bar{Q} \forall_n$.

Since I is not monic, $m^n \notin I$ hence $m^n + I \supseteq I$, so $\bar{m}^n \supseteq V \forall_n$. Thus $\bar{Q} \supseteq V$. Let $\bar{H}_n = (\bar{m}^n)^{\perp}$. Then

$$\bar{H}_1 = \bar{m}^\perp = \bar{m}^\perp \cap \overline{N[x]} = \bar{M}^\perp = V.$$

Suppose $\bar{H}_n \subseteq Q$, and let $\bar{u} \in \bar{H}_{n+1}$. Then $\bar{m}\bar{u} \in \bar{H}_n \subseteq \bar{Q} = \overline{mQ}$, so $\overline{mu} = \overline{mq}$ for some $\bar{q} \in Q$. Then $\bar{h} = \bar{u} - \bar{q} \in \bar{m}^{\perp} = V \subseteq Q$ hence

$$\bar{u} = \bar{h} + \bar{q} \in Q$$

This proves that

(2) $\bar{H} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{H}_n \subseteq \bar{Q}.$

Now, by (1) and (2),

(3) $\bar{N} \subseteq \overline{N[x]} \subseteq \bar{H} \subseteq \bar{Q}.$

And since $\overline{N[x]}$ is a prime ideal, it contains $\overline{f^{\perp}} \forall \overline{f} \neq 0 \in A$, so

(4)
$$\bar{H} = \overline{N[x]} \subseteq \bar{Q}$$

Since R[x]/N[x] = K[x] is a polynomial ring over a field, then

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (m^n) \subseteq N[x]$$

so

(5)
$$\bar{H} = \bar{Q} = \cap(\bar{m}^n) = \overline{N[x]}.$$

Now F = R[x]/M is a field, and $\overline{H}_{n+1}/\overline{H}_n$ is a vector space over F, hence divisible by every $0 \neq t \in F$, and therefore, $\overline{Hf} = \overline{H}$ for every $\overline{f} \in A/\overline{M}$. Since

$$\overline{Hm}^n = \overline{Qm}^n = \overline{Q} = \overline{H}_{\underline{q}}$$

then $E = \overline{N[x]}$ is divisible by every $0 \neq f(x) \in K[x]$ (using (4)).

By the known theory of injective modules over a PID (see, e.g. [F1]), then E is injective. Since E is subdirect irreducible, then E is a local injective K[x]-module, and evidently A is the trivial extension (K[x], E).

This completes the proof once we remove the condition $I \cap R = 0$: if $I_O = I \cap R$, then $I \supseteq I_O[x]$, and A = R[x]/I is an epic image of the polynomial ring

$$R[x]/I_O[x] \approx (R/I_O)[x]$$

that is,

$$A \approx (R/I_O)[x]/(I/I_O)[x]$$

Moreover,

$$(I/I_O) \cap (R/I_O) = 0$$

Now R/I_O is a local ring if R is, and also has square zero radical if R does. The conclusion of the theorem is therefore valid for any COSI ideal I. **4.2.** Theorem. Let R be a semilocal ring with radical J. Then R[x] is SISI only if J/J^2 is finitely generated.

Proof: $\overline{R} = R/J^2$ is a semiprimary ring, hence a finite product of radical square-zero local rings, hence assume \overline{R} is a local ring. Since $\overline{R}[x]$ is a factor ring of R[x], then $\overline{R}[x]$ is SISI by [F3], hence theorem 1.0 implies that $\dim_{\overline{R}} \overline{J} < \infty$, i.e. J/J^2 is finitely generated.

4.3. Theorem. If R is a perfect ring, then R[x] is SISI iff R is Artinian.

Proof: By a theorem of Osofsky [0] a perfect ring R is Artinian iff J/J^2 is finitely generated. By a theorem of Bass, a perfect ring R is semiperfect and has radical $J \neq J^2$. The theorem now applies to complete the proof.

A valuation ring R is discrete VR(=DVR) provided that R satisfies the e.c.'s:

(DVR1) R is a Principal ideal ring (PIR)

(DVR2) R is Noetherian

In this case, $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} J^n = 0$ by the Krull Intersection theorem.

Remark. For convenience below, we allow the possibility that $J^n = 0$ for some n.

4.4 Theorem. If R is a SISI VR, equivalently, an AMVR, and if $J = radR \neq J^2$, then R[x] is SISI only if \overline{R} is Noetherian, that is, only if \overline{R} is a DVR, where $\overline{R} = R/P$, and $P = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} J^n$.

Proof: If follows from theorem 4.3 that $\bar{R}[x]$ is SISI iff R/J^2 is Artinian. Then \bar{R} is a Noetherian VR, whence DVR, so $\bar{J} = x\bar{R}$ for some $x \in R$.

But, then $x \notin J^2$, hence $xR \supset J^2$, so J = xR.

This implies that \overline{R} is Noetherian, whence a DVR.

4.5. Example. Let R = (B, E) be the split-null extension of a DVR B and the least injective cogenerator E over B. Then R is an AMVR, and $\tilde{R} = R/P$ is a DVR. Actually, in this case R is PF, by Theorem 2 of [F4]. Similarly, in Theorem 4.4, we have the:

4.6. Corollary. Under the assumptions of the theorem, if $P \neq P^2$, then either

P/P² ≈ Q_C(R̄), or
E = P/P² is the least injective cogenerator of R̄, and R/P² ≈ (R̄, E) is PF.

Proof: It follows easily from the theorem that P is divisible by p^n for every n, so P/P^2 is divisible over \overline{R} , hence injective. Since P/P^2 is uniform, then

 P/P^2 is indecomposable, and accordingly either torsion-free, or else torsion. Then (1) holds in the former case. IF $E = P/P^2$ is torsion, then it is the least injective cogenerator over \bar{R} .

Since \overline{R} is Morita, then $\overline{R} = \operatorname{End}_{\overline{R}}E$, so $R \approx (\overline{B}, E)$ is PF by Lemma 1 and Theorem 2 of [F4].

5. Polynomial rings over Morita rings

In this section we investigate R[x] for R a Morita ring. By a theorem of Vámos [V1], [V2], if A is a ring extension of R, and if A is a 1.c. R-module, e.g., if A is finitely generated R-module, then A is also Morita. This implies that A = R[x]/I is Morita for any monic ideal I of R[x].

5.1. Theorem. 1. If R is Jacobson-Hilbert, and if a factor ring R[x]/I is 1.c. ring, then I is monic.

2. If R is a 1.c. Jacobson-Hilbert ring, then for any monic ideal I, the factor ring A = R[x]/I is 1.c. as a ring.

Proof: Any 1.c. ring is semilocal (in fact, semiperfect-see [S]). Then, A 1.c. implies that I is monic by Corollary 2.3. In this case R[x]/I is a finitely generated R-module, whence 1.c. as an R-module, whenever R is. (See, e.g., [V1].) The converse is trivial if A is 1.c. as an R-module.

5.2. Corollary. If R is Jacobson-Hilbert, then for any ideal I of R[x], R[x]/I is Morita only if I is monic. This holds in particular, when R[x]/I is PF (or QF).

Proof: A Morita ring is 1.c. and a PF (also QF) ring is a Morita ring.

(Part of the next result is Theorem 1.3 of the Introduction.)

5.3. Proposition. For a Jacobson-Hilbert ring R, consider the following S conditions:

- (1) R[x] is SISI
- (2) R[x] is an H-ring
- (3) Every COSI ideal I of R[x] is contained in just finitely many maximal ideals
- (4) R is Monica;

Then $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4)$ and conversely if R is a Morita ring.

Proof: Any SISI ring is an *H*-ring, so $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ and $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ is trivial. Next, $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$ by Theorem 2.5.

Now assume (4). By the introduction to this section, if R is Morita, then A = R[x]/I is Morita, hence SISI for any COSI ideal I, and therefore self-injective. Thus R[x] is SISI, so (4) \Rightarrow (1), assuming R is Morita.

Any Monica ring is Jacobson-Hilbert, so we also have:

5.4. Corollary. If R is a Monica Morita ring, then R[x] is SISI.

6. Von Neumann regular rings are Monica

By a theorem of Kaplansky, a von Neumann regular (VNR) ring R has the (characterizing) property that R_M is a field for each maximal ideal M, and hence, as Vámos pointed out in [VI], is Vamosian, whence SISI (see $\oint 3.1$). Moreover, R is Jacobson-Hilbert since every prime ideal is maximal.

6.1. Theorem. Any VNR ring R is Monica.

Proof: R is Jacobson-Hilbert, and by 3.1 the ring R[X] is SISI, hence R is Monica by Prop. 5.3.

A ring R is Prüfer (also called Arithmetical) iff R_M is a VR for all maximal ideals M. Any semihereditary ring is a Prüfer ring, since then R_M is a valuation domain (VD) for every maximal ideal.

6.3. Corollary. A Prüfer ring R is SISI iff R_M is an AMVR for all maximal ideals M.

Proof: By [VI], R is SISI iff R_M is SISI $\forall M$. By [F3], any SISI VR is an AMVR, so the corollary follows.

6.2. Corollary. If R is a von Neumann regular (VNR) ring, then R[x] is Prüfer and SISI, hence $R[x]_M$ is an AMVD for all maximal ideals M.

Proof: Over a VNR ring R, the polynomial ring R[x] is semihereditary hence Prüfer. Since R is locally Noetherian (in fact locally a field) then so is R[x], so R[x] is SISI. (See $\oint 3.1$). Since $R[x]_M$ is Noetherian, it is a DVD. I have Dr. P. Pillay to thank for noting this.

7. Open Problems

In this paper, we have shown that a polynomial ring over a SISI Jacobson-Hilbert local ring need not be SISI, in fact need not be an H-ring. Does the corresponding hold for Vámos or Morita rings? Also similar questions may be asked for a 1.c. R, i.e. when is R[x]/I also a 1.c. ring, other than when I is monic?

Characterize R such that all COSI (or maximal) ideals of R[x] are faithful. These include Monica (maxmonica) rings.

Note

Hilbert rings are so-called because of their connections with the Hilbert Nullstellensatz (see [K] for a lucid exposition of Goldman's [G] and Krull's [Kr] results.) Jacobson rings are named by [Kr] because of their characterizations via the condition that the nilradical equals the Jacobson radical in a any factor ring.

Some of these same ideas have been extended to polynomial rings over von Neumann regular rings by Gentle [Ge]. (Cf. Theorem 6.1 which implies that VNR's are Monica rings.)

References

- [C]. CAMILLO, V.P., Homological independence of injective hulls of simple modules over commutative rings, Comm. Alg. 6(14) (1978), 1459–69.
- [F1].FAITH, C., "Algebra I: Rings, Modules, and Categories," Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1973. corrected reprint, 1981
- [F2]. FAITH, C., "Algebra II: Ring Theory," Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [F3].FAITH, C., Linearly compact modules and a theorem of Vámos, Publ. Sec. Mat. Univ. Autônoma Barcelona 30 (1986), 127-148.
- [F4].FAITH, C., Self-injective rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1979), 157-164.
- [F5].FAITH, C., A note on Morita and linearly compact rings. to appear
- [G]. GOLDMAN, O., Hilbert rings and the Hilbert Nullstellensatz, Math. Z. 54 (1951), 136-140.
- [Ge].GENTLE, R., Comment on the Nullstellensatz for regular rings, Can. Bull. Math. 30 (1987), 124-128.
- [K]. KAPLANSKY, I., Commutative Rings, Univ. of Chicago Press (1974).
- [Kr]. KRULL, W., Jacobonsche Rings, Hilbertscher Nullstellensatz, Dimensiontheorie, Math. Z. 54 (1951), 354–387.
- [Ma] MATLIS, E., Injective modules over Noetherian rings, Pac. J. Math 83 (1958), 207–19.
- [Mu]MUELLER, B., Linear compactness and Morita duality, J. Algebra 16 (1970), 60-66.
- [Mo]MORITA, K., Duality for modules and its applications to the theory of rings with minimum condition, *Sci. Reports* 6 (1959), 83-142, Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku.
- [V1].VÁMOS, P., Classical rings, J. Algebra 34 (1959), 114-129.
- [V2].VÁMOS, P., Rings with duality, London Math. Soc. 35 (1977), 275-289.
- [V3] VÁMOS, P., The decomposition of finitely generated modules and fractional self-injective rings, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 16 (1977), 209-220.

- [S]. SANDOMIERSKI, F.L., Linearly compact modules and local Morita duality, in Ring Theory, Academic Press (1972), New York.
- [Z]. ZELINSKY D., Linearly compact modules and rings, Amer. J. Math. (1953), 79-90.

Rutgers, The State University New Brunswick NJ 08903 U.S.A.

Institut d'Estudis Catalans Centre de Recerca Matemàtica Bellaterra, Apartat 50 08193 SPAIN

Rebut el 4 de maig de 1988