# REGULARITY OF VARIETIES IN STRICTLY PSEUDOCONVEX DOMAINS

FRANC FORSTNERIČ

Abstract .

We prove a theorem on the boundary regularity of a purely p-dimensional complex subvariety of a relatively compact, strictly pseudoconvex domain in a Stein manifold. Some applications describing the structure of the polynomial hull of closed curves in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  are also given.

## Introduction

Let X be a complex manifold,  $M \subset X$  a connected (2p-1)-dimensional submanifold of X of class  $C^k$   $(k \ge 1, p \ge 1)$ , and A a closed complex subvariety of X\M of pure dimension p such that  $\overline{A} \subset A \cup M$ . Then either  $\overline{A}$  is a complex subvariety of X or else there exists a closed subset  $E \subset A$  of (2p-1)dimensional Hausdorff measure  $\mathcal{H}_{2p-1}(E) = 0$  such that the pair  $(A \setminus E, M \setminus E)$ is a  $C^k$  submanifold with boundary [2, p.190]. In the second case A has locally finite 2p dimensional volume in X, and M can be oriented such that the pair (A, M) satisfies the theorem of Stokes [2, p.192], [6], [8]. Consequently M is a maximally complex submanifold of X, i.e., the maximal complex subspace  $T_z^C M$  of the real tangent space  $T_z M$  to M at z has real codimension one in  $T_z M$ .

There is a converse of this due to Harvey and Lawson [6]: If X is a Stein manifold and M is a closed, compact, maximally complex submanifold of X of dimension 2p-1 ( $p \ge 2$ ), then M bounds (in the sense of currents) a purely p-dimensional complex subvariety  $A \subset X \setminus M$ , with boundary regularity as above.

We are interested in the boundary regularity of a purely p-dimensional complex subvariety of a relatively compact, strictly pseudoconvex domain  $\Omega \subset X$ with  $C^2$  boundary. We shall give a simple proof of the following

The work was supported in part by a grant from the Science Foundation of the Republic of Slovenia.

Theorem 1. Assume that

(1) X is a Stein manifold;

(2)  $\Omega$  is a relatively compact, strictly pseudoconvex domain with  $C^2$  boundary in X;

(3) M is a closed (2p-1)-dimensional submanifold of X of class  $C^k$   $(p \ge 1, k \ge 2)$  contained in the boundary  $b\Omega$  of  $\Omega$ ;

(4) A is a purely p-dimensional complex subvariety of  $\Omega$  such that  $\overline{A} \subset \subset A \cup M$ , and  $\overline{A}$  intersects every connected component of M.

Then there exists an open neighborhood U of M such that the pair  $(A \cap U, M)$  is a C<sup>k</sup> manifold with boundary, and  $\overline{A}$  intersects b $\Omega$  transversely in the set M.

Consequently A has at most finitely many singularities in  $\Omega$ . The manifold M is maximally complex, and its tangent space  $T_x M$  is not contained in the maximal complex tangent space  $T_z^{C} b\Omega$  to the boundary of  $\Omega$  for any  $z \in M$ .

We obtain an interesting consequence concerning holomorphic convexity of closed curves. We shall state the result only for  $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ . Recall that the polynomially convex hull of a compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is

 $\hat{K} = \big\{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n \colon |f(z)| \leq \sup_{K} |f| \text{ for all holomorphic polynomials } f \big\}.$ 

If M is a rectifiable closed Jordan curve in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , then either M is polynomially convex,  $M = \hat{M}$ , or else  $A = \hat{M} \setminus M$  is a purely one-dimensional analytic variety according to Wermer [10], [11, p.71], Stolzenberg [9], and Alexander [1].

Corollary 2. Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded  $C^2$  strictly pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with polynomially convex closure, and let M be a simple closed curve of class  $C^k$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , contained in the boundary of  $\Omega$ . If M is not polynomially convex, then the one-dimensional complex variety  $A = \hat{M} \setminus M$  has at most finitely many singularities.

**Proof:** Since  $\overline{\Omega}$  is polynomially convex, A is contained in  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Every point  $p \in b\Omega$  is a peak point for  $\Omega$ , so the maximum principle implies that A is contained in  $\Omega$ . Therefore the corollary follows from Theorem 1.

We shall say that a submanifold  $M \subset b\Omega$  of class  $C^1$  is complex tangential at the point  $z \in M$  if

(1)  $T_z M$  is contained in  $T_z^C b\Omega$ .

Here,  $T_z^C b\Omega = T_z b\Omega \cap \sqrt{-1} T_z b\Omega$ . We shall say that M is complex transverse at z if it is not complex tangential.

Corollary 3. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be as in Corollary 2. If  $M \subset b\Omega$  is a simple closed curve of class  $C^2$  that is complex tangential at least at one point, then M is polynomially convex.

Proof: If M is not polynomially convex, Theorem 1 implies that the polynomial hull  $\hat{M} = A \cup M \subset \overline{\Omega}$  is a complex variety with smooth boundary near

every point  $z \in M$ , and  $\hat{M}$  intersects  $b\Omega$  transversely in M. This implies that M is complex transverse in  $b\Omega$  and the corollary follows.

**Example.** If M is a simple closed  $C^2$  curve in the sphere  $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| = 1\}$  parametrized by the map  $r(t) = (r_1(t), \ldots, r_n(t))$  with nonvanishing derivative, and if

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{j}^{\mathsf{I}}(t) \overline{r_{j}(t)} = 0$$

for some value of the parameter t, then M is polynomially convex

It seems rather surprising that a condition at one point of the curve guaranties its polynomial convexity, as long as the curve stays inside the given strictly pseudoconvex boundary.

## Remarks.

1. Theorem 1 is stated in [2, p.203], but the proof given there does not appear to be complete.

2. If one knows already that M is the boundary of  $A = M \setminus M$  in the sense of currents and if  $p \ge 2$ , then Theorem 1 is a special case of Theorem 10.3 in [6, p.275].

3. In the case when p = 1 and the variety A is a proper holomorphic image of the unit disc  $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ , Theorem 1 follows from the more general results of Čirka [3] concerning the regularity of one-dimensional complex varieties in the complement of a totally real submanifold of the ambient space.

4. In the case  $p \ge 2$ , Theorem 1 was proved by the author in [4]. Our new proof is simpler and includes the case p = 1 when M is a curve. We first show that the pair (A, M) is a manifold with boundary in a neighborhood of each point  $z \in M$  at which M is complex transversal, i.e., the condition (1) fails. The proof in this case is the same as in [4]. The main difficulty in [4] was to show that M can not be complex tangential at any point if it bounds a p-dimensional variety. In this paper we prove this by a very simple perturbation argument.

Acknowledgement. I wish to thank Josip Globevnik for several stimulating discussions on this subject.

### Proof of Theorem 1

By the embedding theorem of Fornæss and Khenkin [7, p.112] we may assume that  $X = \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\Omega$  is a strictly convex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

It suffices to prove that each point  $z^0 \in \overline{A} \cap M$  has an open neighborhood U such that the pair  $(A \cap U, M \cap U)$  is a smooth manifold with boundary. We first prove this in the case when M is complex transverse at  $z^0$ , i.e., condition (1) fails. This part of the argument is the same as in [4]. We include it for the convenience of the reader.

By an affine change of coordinates in  $C^n$  we may assume that

(i)  $z^0 = 0$ ,

(ii)  $T_0 b\Omega = \{ \Re z_1 = 0 \}$  and  $T_0^C b\Omega = \{ z_1 = 0 \}$ , and

(iii) the domain  $\Omega$  is contained in  $\{\Re z_1 > 0\}$ .

Recall that  $T_0M$  is a real (2p-1)-dimensional subspace of  $\{\Re e z_1 = 0\}$  that is not contained in  $\{z_1 = 0\}$ . Thus the orthogonal projection of  $T_0M$  onto the  $z_1$  axis is a real line, and the intersection  $W = T_0M \cap \{z_1 = 0\}$  has real dimension 2p-2.

We can choose a complex (p-1)-dimensional subspace L contained in  $\{z_1 = 0\}$  such that the orthogonal projection  $\mathbb{C}^n \to L$  maps W surjectively onto L. After a unitary change of coordinates  $z_2, \ldots, z_n$  we may assume that  $L = \{z_1 = z_{p+1} = \ldots = z_n = 0\}$ .

Let  $\pi: \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^p = \{z_{p+1} = 0, \ldots, z_n = 0\}$  be the orthogonal projection. Since  $b\Omega$  is strictly convex, we can find an open polydisc neighborhood  $U = U' \times U''$  of 0 in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , with  $U' \subset \mathbb{C}^p$  and  $U'' \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-p}$ , such that  $\pi: U \cap \overline{\Omega} \to U'$  is a proper mapping. Our choice of L implies that  $\pi: T_0M \to \mathbb{C}^p$  is injective. Shrinking U if necessary it follows that  $\pi$  maps  $M \cap U$  diffeomorphically onto a real hypersurface  $\Gamma \subset U'$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^k$  that splits  $U' \setminus \Gamma$  in two connected components  $\Gamma^+$  and  $\Gamma^-$ . Let  $\Gamma^+$  be the region contained in  $\{\Re z_1 > 0\}$ . Since  $M \cap U$  is contained in the strictly convex boundary  $b\Omega \cap U$  and  $\mathbb{C}^p \times \{0\}$  contains the normal vector  $(1, 0, \ldots, 0)$  to  $b\Omega$  at 0, the projection  $\pi(M \cap U) = \Gamma$  is hypersurface in  $\mathbb{C}^p$  which is strictly convex from the side  $\Gamma^+$ , provided that the neighborhood U is sufficiently small.

Since  $\pi: \overline{\Omega} \cap U \to U'$  is proper and the set  $(A \cup M) \cap U$  is closed in U, the restriction

$$\pi\colon (A\cup M)\cap U\to U'$$

is also proper. The convexity of  $\Gamma^+$  along  $\Gamma$  implies that  $\pi(A \cap U)$  is contained in  $\Gamma^+$  according to the maximum principle. Hence the mapping

(2)  $\pi: A \cap U \to \Gamma^+$ 

is an analytic cover [5, p.101].

Denote by s the number of sheets of this analytic cover, i.e., the number of points in the generic fiber. Notice that all sheets converge to the common edge M as we approach  $\Gamma$ . We claim that this implies s = 1. We only give a sketch of proof since the details can be found in [4].

Let z = (z', z''), where  $z' = (z_1, \ldots, z_p)$  and  $z'' = (z_{p+1}, \ldots, z_n)$ . There is a linear function w = w(z'') that separates points of  $\pi^{-1}(z') \cap A \cap U$  for all points  $z' \in \Gamma^+$  outside a proper complex subvariety  $\sigma \subset \Gamma^+$ . For each  $z' \in \Gamma^+ \setminus \sigma$  we denote by  $w_1(z'), \ldots, w_s(z')$  the values of w at the points of  $\pi^{-1}(z') \cap A \cap U$ . Let P(w, z') be the polynomial in w defined by

$$P(w,z')=\prod_{j=1}^{s}\left(w-w_{j}(z')\right)=w^{s}+a_{1}(z')w^{s-1}+\cdots+a_{s}(z'), \quad z'\in\Gamma^{+}\setminus\sigma.$$

Its coefficients  $a_j(z')$  are bounded holomorphic functions on  $\Gamma^+ \setminus \sigma$ , so they extend to bounded functions on  $\Gamma^+$ . The discriminant  $\delta(z')$  of P(.,z') is also a bounded holomorphic function on  $\Gamma^+$  since it is a polynomial expression in the coefficients  $a_j$  of P. Recall that  $\delta(z') = 0$  if and only if P(.,z') has multiple roots.

If s > 1, the hypothesis  $\overline{A} \subset A \cup M$  implies that the nontangential boundary values of  $\delta$  on  $\Gamma$  equal zero almost everywhere since the different sheets of (2) converge together to M. This implies  $\delta \equiv 0$  on  $\Gamma^+$ , a contradiction. Thus s = 1 as claimed.

It follows that the projection (2) is a bijection, so  $(A \cup M) \cap U$  is a graph of the form

$$(A\cup M)\cap U=ig\{(z',f(z'))\colon z'\in\Gamma^+\cup\Gammaig\}.$$

Since A is complex analytic and M is of class  $C^k$ , it follows that f is holomorphic on  $\Gamma^+$  and of class  $C^k$  on  $\Gamma$ . Clearly f is also continuous on  $\Gamma^+ \cup \Gamma$ . The regularity theorem [6, p.249] implies that f is of class  $C^k$  on  $\Gamma^+ \cup \Gamma$ . This proves that  $(A \cup M) \cap U$  is a  $C^k$  manifold with boundary intersecting  $b\Omega$  transversely.

It remains to show that the manifold M is complex transverse at each point  $z \in M \cap \overline{A}$  so that the first part of the proof applies. The following argument is considerably simpler than the one in [4], and it also applies in the case p = 1.

Assume that the condition (1) is satisfied for some  $z = z^0 \in M \cap \overline{A}$ . Let  $\Lambda \subset T_{z^0}^C b\Omega$  be the smallest complex subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  containing  $T_{z^0}M$ . Since  $T_{z^0}M$  is not a complex subspace, there is a vector  $b \in \Lambda \setminus T_{z^0}M$ . We can choose a function h of class  $C^2$ , supported on a neighborhood of  $z^0$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , such that  $h|_M \equiv 0$ , but the derivative of h at  $z^0$  in the direction b is nonzero.

Let  $\rho$  be a strictly convex defining function of class  $C^2$  for  $\Omega$ , so  $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \rho(z) < 0\}$  and  $d\rho \neq 0$  on  $b\Omega$ . If  $\epsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small, the domain

$$\Omega_{\epsilon} = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \rho(z) + \epsilon h(z) < 0 \right\}$$

is of class  $C^2$  and strictly convex. Fix such an  $\epsilon$ . Since h vanishes on M, M is contained in the boundary of  $\Omega_{\epsilon}$ . Thus we have  $A \subset \hat{M} \subset \hat{\Omega}_{\epsilon} = \overline{\Omega}_{\epsilon}$ , and the maximum principle implies  $A \subset \Omega_{\epsilon}$ .

Our choice of h implies that  $T_{z^0} b\Omega_{\epsilon}$  does not contain A, so  $T_{z^0}^C b\Omega_{\epsilon}$  does not contain  $T_{z^0}M$ . This means that M is complex transverse in  $b\Omega_{\epsilon}$  at the point  $z^0$ . By the first part of the proof, with  $\Omega$  replaced by  $\Omega_{\epsilon}$ , the set  $\overline{A}$  is a local  $\mathcal{C}^*$  manifold with boundary M near  $z^0$ .

We have proved that the pair (A, M) is a local manifold with boundary near every point  $z \in \overline{A} \cap M$ . This implies that  $\overline{A} \cap M$  is an open and closed subset of M. Since we assumed that  $\overline{A}$  intersects every connected component of M, it follows that  $\overline{A} = A \cup M$ .

It remains to show that  $\overline{A}$  intersects  $b\Omega$  transversely. The restriction  $\rho' = \rho|_{\overline{A}}$  of the plurisubharmonic defining function  $\rho$  of  $\Omega$  to  $\overline{A}$  is a negative subharmonic

function of class  $C^2$  on the complex manifold with boundary  $\overline{A}$ . The Hopf lemma implies

$$ho(z) \leq -c \operatorname{dist}(z,M), \quad z \in A$$

for some c > 0. Here, dist denotes the Euclidean distance. Since  $-\rho(z)$  is proportional to the distance of z to  $b\Omega$ , we conclude that dist(z, M) is proportional to  $dist(z, b\Omega)$  for  $z \in A$ . Hence  $\overline{A}$  intersects  $b\Omega$  transversely at each point of M. Thus the condition (1) fails and M is everywhere complex transverse.

### References

- H. ALEXANDER, Polynomial approximation and hulls in sets of finite linear measure in C<sup>n</sup>, Amer. J. of Math. 93 (1971), 65-74.
- 2. E.M. ČIRKA, "Complex Analytic Varieties," (Russian) Nauka, Moskva, 1985.
- E.M. ČIRKA, Regularity of boundaries of analytic sets, Mat. Sb. 117 (1982), 291-336; Math. USSR Sbor. 45 (1983), 291-335.
- 4. F. FORSTNERIČ, On the boundary regularity of proper holomorphic mappings, Annali Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sc. Ser. IV, 13 (1986), 109-128.
- 5. R. GUNNING AND H. ROSSI, "Analytic Functions of Several Complex Variables," Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1965.
- 6. F.R. HARVEY AND H.B. LAWSON, On boundaries of complex analytic varieties I, Ann. of Math. 102 (1975), 223-290.
- 7. S.G. KRANTZ, "Function Theory of Several Complex Variables," John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1982.
- 8. N. SIBONY, Quelques problemes de prolongement de courants en analyse complexe, Duke Math. J. 52 (1985), 157-197.
- 9. G. STOLZENBERG, Uniform approximation on smooth curves, Acta Math. 115 (1966), 185-198.
- 10. J. WERMER, The hull of a curve in C<sup>n</sup>, Ann. of Math. 68 (1958), 550-561.
- J. WERMER, Banach Algebras and Several Complex Variables, Springer-Verlag, New York 1976.

Institut of Mathematics, Physics, and Mechanics Jadranska 19 YU-61000 Ljubljana, YUGOSLAVIA.

Rebut el 14 de Desembre de 1987