The Burden of 'Nativeness': Four Plurilingual Student-Teachers' Stories

Maria Cioè-Peña, Emilee Moore, Luisa Martín Rojo Graduate Centre, CUNY, New York, USA

Article received 25 January 2016, accepted 16 May 2016, final version 26 May 2016 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5565/rev/jtl3.677

Abstract

Oral history interviews conducted with four student-teachers in Bilingual Education or TESOL studies are analyzed. Despite being deconstructed in sociolinguistics and related fields, the 'native' and 'non-native' dichotomy emerges not only as salient in participants' self-perceptions of linguistic competence, but also in feelings of unpreparedness for full participation in the teaching profession. Alternative categories are explored, including 'legitimate', 'resourceful' or 'bi/plurilingual' speaker, which may act in juxtaposition to that of 'native', or offer emancipatory ways forward. In line with critical pedagogy, for such alternative categories to empower, reimagining how linguistic competence is constructed in the teaching profession - through the appropriation of tools to critically deconstruct 'nativeness' – must engage the entire educational community.

Keywords

Native/non-native, resourceful speakers, bi/plurilingual teachers, critical pedagogy, teacher education

Resumen

En este artículo se analizan las historias de vida de cuatro estudiantes participantes en programas de formación de profesorado de Educación Bilingüe o TESOL. A pesar de que la sociolingüística y otros campos afines han problematizado la dicotomía de hablante 'nativo'/'no-nativo', estas categorías emergen como relevantes para los participantes en este estudio, en cuanto a las percepciones de su propia competencia y de su preparación para iniciarse en su profesión. Categorías alternativas como hablante 'legítimo', 'experto' o 'bi/plurilingüe' se exploran en el artículo, las cuales pueden simplemente rebatir la de hablante 'nativo' o posibilitar la emancipación de ella. Siguiendo los postulados de la pedagogía crítica, para que estas categorías resulten empoderadoras, en las tareas de reimaginar la competencia lingüística que se construye en el campo de la educación y de deconstruir la noción de 'natividad', deben involucrarse comunidades educativas enteras.

Palabras clave

Hablante 'nativo/no-nativo', hablante 'experto', docentes plurilingües, pedagogía crítica, formación de profesorado

Resum

En aquest article s'analitzen les històries de vida de quatre estudiants participants en programes de formació de professorat d'Educació Bilingüe o TESOL. Tot i que la sociolingüística i altres camps afins han problematitzat la dicotomia de parlant 'nadiu' / 'no-nadiu', aquestes categories emergeixen com a rellevants per als participants en aquest estudi, pel que fa a les percepcions de la seva pròpia competència i de la seva preparació per iniciar-se en la seva professió. Categories

alternatives com a parlant 'legítim', 'expert' o 'bi/plurilingüe' s'exploren en l'article, les quals poden servir simplement per rebatre la de parlant 'nadiu' o possibilitar l'emancipació d'ella. Seguint els postulats de la pedagogia crítica, perquè aquestes categories siguin empoderadores, les tasques de reimaginar la competència lingüística que es construeix en el camp de l'educació i de desconstruir la noció de 'nativitat' és una en la qual han d'involucrar-se comunitats educatives senceres.

Paraules clau

Parlant 'nadiu/no-nadiu', parlant 'expert', docents plurilingües, pedagogia crítica, formació de professorat

Introduction

This article examines the narratives that were co-constructed by interviewee and interviewer during four (linguistic) autobiographical interviews or oral histories. The interviews took place at City College, a senior college that is part of the CUNY system and located in Harlem. The interviewees are all student-teachers in Bilingual Education or Teaching English to Speakers of Other Languages (TESOL) training programs at the college. Furthermore, they all share diasporic trajectories, live transnational lives and speak languages in addition to English. This research took place as part of the Futures Initiative project based at the CUNY Graduate Centre and thus seeks equity and innovation in higher education. The implications of the student-teachers' subjectivities for teacher education at City College and for the education of language minoritized bilingual students more generally are explored. We ask guiding questions such as: How do the participants' bi/plurilingual repertoires, their self-perceptions of linguistic competence and their academic training tie in with their feelings of preparedness and their career goals? What educational needs do the students identify in relation to language and how are or may they be better catered for by the teacher training programs they follow? The research stems from the researchers' conviction that the linguistic and cultural diversity of a city such as New York - in which only 51 per cent of the population speaks English at home (United States Census Bureau, 2011) - is capital wasted if not invested in the construction of inclusive educational experiences allowing for diverse ways of being, knowing and doing.

The analyses suggest that despite having been problematized in sociolinguistics and related fields (see below), the 'native speaker' and 'non-native speaker' dichotomy emerges as salient for participants' self-perceptions of linguistic competence and also for their feelings of unpreparedness for full participation in the teaching profession. The still pervasive narratives of

standard language and of the ideal monolingual speaker are very real for the student-teachers we interviewed. The article also explores alternative categories, such as 'legitimate', 'resourceful' or 'bi/plurilingual' speaker, which, following the logic constructed in the student-teachers' narratives, may act in negative juxtaposition to that of 'native', or offer emancipatory ways forward. In line with critical pedagogical approaches, we conclude that for such alternative categories to empower, reimagining how linguistic competence is constructed in the teaching profession - for example, through the appropriation of tools to critically deconstruct 'nativeness' - needs to engage the entire educational community, not just 'bilingual' or 'resourceful' speakers themselves.

On 'nativeness', 'non-nativeness' and alternatives for teachers and students

Despite often being taken for granted in applied linguistics and in everyday social discourse, critical and socio-interactionist scholarship has deconstructed notions such as 'native' and 'nonnative' speaker. The latter is usually synonymous with 'learner', "a static, unchanging identity, and there is no possibility of moving from the identity of learner to that of native speaker" (Amim, 2001, p. 95). The linguistic competence that Chomsky imagined when proposing the category of 'ideal native speaker' continues to reify monolingualism and monoculturalism, and the competences of monolingual speakers (Ricento, 2013). The monolingual and monocultural construction of society may further be traced back to projects of colonization and to the sociopolitical construction of modern nation states, as has been well documented (e.g. Makoni & Pennycook, 2007); 'non-nativeness' positions one outside of the nation (Amim, 2001, p. 93). Following Martín Rojo's (in press) discussion on Foucault's notion of power, the ideological construction of the monolingual 'native' speaker as a model to be followed has become so deeply normalized that it has become embodied in our ways of doing, knowing and being. Furthermore, for Martín Rojo (in press), this model of speakerhood is one of the most powerful disciplinary mechanisms individuals face, the effects of which can be attested to in the way they tame their linguistic 'conduct', and in how they perceive and value their communicative competences and skills.

The 'native' speaker was already equated with 'mother tongue' speaker in the early definition of the concept (Bloomfield, 1933, p. 43), being they who provide the best model for

foreigners, who only rarely may speak as well as 'natives'. However, it is beyond the scope of this article to provide a full review of the scholarship. Pennycook (2012) offers a critical overview of available categories that is especially pertinent to the research presented in this article. He firstly raises the point that those categorized as 'non-native' speakers are not often content with their 'non-nativeness' (e.g. to be heard with an accent) and aim to 'pass as natives' through 'native-like proficiency'; thus scholarly attempts to legitimize 'non-nativeness' through notions such as *lingua franca* are perhaps inadequate from the perspective of language users.

Pennycook also offers a thoughtful critique on research advocating for the desirability of 'non-native' over 'native' language teachers (see Moussu & Llurda, 2008, for a comprehensive review), as institutional and student expectations often push teachers into "being something that one is not, fooling others into believing that one actually is a native speaker" (Pennycook, 2012, p. 76). Furthermore, the resources necessary to do being a 'native' speaker are not equally distributed in our societies. As Heller (2013, p. 189) points out, "minimally, there are some people who get to define what counts for membership to this category, and others who have to play by those rules". As our analysis shows, membership to the 'native' speaker category, and the appropriate deployment of forms recognizable as belonging to the standardized, valued, national 'language', are not inherently tied together, while other social and racial components shape speakers' adscriptions to it. Pennycook raises a point also made by Leung, Harris and Rampton (1997) and Amim (2001), among others, that many ethnic minority teachers are immediately categorized as 'non-native' speakers of the majority language because of how they look, as 'nativeness', as a social construct, is arguably racialized and hereditary.

Furthermore, Pennycook (2012) argues, 'native-likeness' is not a realistic or desirable goal for every speaker. Being able to 'perform like a local' is another possibility, but it continues to be relative to others and contingent on their perspective; rarely does one actually 'pass as a local' despite having traces of locality in their idiolect, and access to local forms presupposes certain privilege. Following Lippi-Green (1997), in the US context, even if one can eliminate accent and sound 'local', racism, sexism, classism, etc. may continue to disempower and exclude some speakers from passing as 'native'. Following this discussion, Pennycook (2012, p. 99) concludes that new understandings of the issues at stake could be offered by the category of

'resourceful' speaker, which involves "both having available language resources and being good at shifting between styles, discourses and genres".

In this regard, Pennycook coincides with socio-interactionist scholarship on the competence of bi/plurilingual speakers (e.g. Moore & Nussbaum, in press). According to Lüdi and Py (2009), a useful step to understanding the complexity of competence would be to replace the notion all together. They suggest instead referring to the resources available to individuals in coordination with others and the social world for the achievement of different ends. This approach "presupposes the existence of a free and active subject who has amassed a repertoire of resources and who activates this repertoire according to his/her need, knowledge or whims, modifying or combining them where necessary" (Lüdi & Py 2009, p. 159). The notion of 'resourceful speaker' is also in line with García and her colleague's work on translanguaging (e.g. García, 2009; García & Li Wei, 2014; Otheguy, García & Reid, 2015).

In Amim's (2001) study with minority female immigrant English teachers in Canada, such resourcefulness went beyond their languaging, to include their mobilization of critical and empowering pedagogies in striving to decolonize classrooms in which they were constantly judged by their students as illegitimate models of nativeness. This connects with Pavlenko's (2003) research in which she traces in student-teachers' narratives their reimagination of educational communities as ones in which teachers can be 'resourcefully bi/plurilingual', as opposed to 'native' or 'non-native'. Pavlenko (2003, p. 252) frames her work within critical pedagogy, and ultimately concludes that although approaches such as the one engaged in with her own students are potentially empowering, "inequitable hierarchies are an issue that should be addressed not only within the marginalized group, but also within the profession as a whole". Failing to engage with the majority in explorations of how ideologies of nationalism, colonialism and racism might be embedded in the way notions of 'nativeness' are locally deployed is not only insufficient in dealing with inequalities, but can also reproduce them (Pennycook, 2001). Pennycook (2012) argues that critical teacher development should both help to reject the 'native' vs. 'non-native' teacher dichotomy, at the same time as moves should be made to re-appropriate terminology such as 'non-native' or 'bilingual' in non-discriminatory ways. We shall come back to the pedagogical consequences of our research in the conclusions. In the following section, the research and the participants who are the focus of this article are presented in more depth.

Introducing the research context

City College is one of the major teacher education institutions in New York City. It is a part of CUNY, located in a historically black and Latino neighborhood and educates teachers at both undergraduate and graduate level. Its total undergraduate enrollment in 2013 was 15,464 students. According to the Office of Institutional Research, the majority of the student body is composed of undergraduate students and is mostly (65%) non-white, with 31% of students identifying as Latino and 25% identifying as Asian. The students collectively report over 70 languages other than English. The most spoken of these languages are Spanish, Chinese (Mandarin and Cantonese) and Bengali. Furthermore, 49% of students report speaking a language other than English at home (The City College of New York, 2013; Office of Institutional Research - The City College of New York, 2015).

The university educates teachers in general education, special education, bilingual education and TESOL. The bilingual education and TESOL program offers preparation to teach emergent bilinguals in English, and in the bilingual education program teachers are also prepared to teach in Spanish, Mandarin, Cantonese, and Haitian Creole. According to the website "The Programs in Bilingual Education and TESOL in the School of Education prepare teachers for service in a culturally and linguistically diverse society, with the goal of developing and supporting proficiency and literacy in students' native languages and in additional (second) languages" (The City College of New York, n.d).

The qualifications to be a bilingual education or TESOL teacher in New York State are similar in that both require either a bachelors or graduate level qualification in education in addition to passing several State certification exams (in both content and areas of specialization). The degree also requires a number of courses specific to teaching speakers of English as a Second Language, and teaching bilingually (for those in Bilingual Education).

Conducting the oral history interviews

This research was mainly based on oral history interviews (Santamarina & Marinas, 1995; Taylor Shockley, 2013) prompting extended responses in which participants produced (linguistic) autobiographical narratives (Pavlenko, 2008). We understand oral histories as a social practice, and not a mere instrument for collecting information. Thus, our data are representations of

experiences, facts, attitudes, ideologies, etc., co-constructed between interviewer and interviewees, in which meaning is negotiated (Talmy, 2010). In this case, we were interested in the participants' accounts of how they learned and used their different languages and in their trajectories as students and teachers in relation to language. We also carried out observations in order to gain familiarity with the campus to support the interpretation of the interview data.

For this study we interviewed four current students in the TESOL or Bilingual Education program with varying levels of experience working in schools. The interviewees were referred by a local professor at the institution, who chose them because they spoke languages other than English and were considered reliable. They were recruited by email. Six students were originally contacted, with four agreeing to participate. All participants were interviewed by one of two members of the research team using a set of guiding questions (see appendix); however the interviews usually flowed smoothly and categories such as 'native' or 'non-native' speaker emerged in all cases before being used by the researchers. Three interviews were conducted face-to-face and one was conducted by telephone. They were audio recorded and later transcribed by a commercial company (rev.com) using a basic annotation system, anonymized and revised by the researchers. All participants signed informed consent.

The researchers then analyzed the interviews and constructed themes and categories (e.g. Talmy, 2010) in relation to linguistic and professional trajectories. The language ideologies emerging from the narratives – or the "representations, whether explicit or implicit, which construe the intersection of language and human beings in a social world" (Woolard, 1998, p.3) – were the primary focus of our analysis. Such ideologies are co-constructed by interviewer and interviewee, given that respondents' answers are oriented to, shaped by, and designed for the questions that occasion them. We were thus sensitive in conducting the interviews and the analyses to the ideological underpinnings of the questions and to the influence of our own positionalities on what we asked, the responses we received and the way we interpreted them. Both of the interviewers were of a similar age to the interviewees and were attending PhD courses taught by the same professor as the interviewees at the CUNY Graduate Center. They were also both bi/plurilingual (Emilee uses English, Spanish, and Catalan in her daily life and Maria uses English and Spanish) and raised in English-dominant countries (Emilee in a monolingual English-speaking environment in Australia and Maria in a bilingual Spanish-English

environment the USA).

After the initial individual analyses, salient excerpts were cross-checked for accurate interpretation and selected for inclusion in this article. Our analyses was also shared and contrasted with colleagues in an informal data session. Our interpretations were triangulated and articulated using themes from current literature.

Introducing the interviewees

All of the interviewees had experienced migration to some extent; two had immigrated to the United States as adults, one as a child, and the fourth was born in the US but spent significant time in the country of origin of her parents during her schooling. All four grew up in households in which a language other than English was spoken and were participating in bilingual or TESOL certification programs. The following is a brief introduction to our interviewees, using pseudonyms:

Sara. Sara was born in NYC of Dominican parents. She grew up and went to school mainly in NYC, although she also attended one year of elementary school and university in the Dominican Republic. At the time of the interview, she was taking a pre-service training program to be a bilingual teacher. In the interview she constructs herself as a balanced bilingual in both English and Spanish, and thus an ideal bilingual teacher model. She lives and works in Washington Heights and claims to use English only at school and Spanish in the rest of her life.

Sabia. Sabia was born in Bangladesh and raised there until 4th grade of elementary school. Her initial schooling was in Bangla, although she remembers it being irregular in terms of attendance. She also started learning to read and write Arabic in Bangladesh for religious purposes. She had limited contact with English in Bangladesh. On arriving in NYC, she attended an English medium program for 4th and 5th grade, but was then put into a Spanish-English bilingual program, where she learnt both English and Spanish. She continues to use Bangla, English and Arabic in her daily life, and Spanish only rarely. She has lived in Tremont in the Bronx since coming to NYC, which she says has a large Bangladeshi community, and she has mostly worked in schools in the Bronx. She constructs herself as not having a good foundation in any language at the same time as she sees herself as very able to negotiate in contexts of linguistic and cultural diversity.

Hector. Hector was born and raised in Colombia, and came to NYC in his early twenties after losing his parents. He has worked hard to make a life for himself and to support family in Colombia. He completed the same pre-service training program for bilingual teachers as Sara, but he has refused to take the English language test that would qualify him to teach, as he does not feel like his English is good enough yet. In order to improve his level, he was registered in a TESOL graduate program at the time of the interview. Despite lacking confidence that his English is good enough to be a teacher, he does construct himself as a competent educator and speaker of Spanish. Hector has lived in different parts of Brooklyn, including Prospect Park when he first arrived and Coney Island, where he was living at the time of the interview. He had also lived in Logroño in Spain as part of a university exchange program.

Jingya. Jingya was born and raised in China and came to NYC in her early twenties, having lived briefly in Oregon with her grandparents on her arrival to the USA. She is originally from Guangdong province and her home language is Cantonese. At school, she was immersed in Mandarin and she reads and writes simplified Chinese. She learned British English at school in China. At the time of the interview, she was taking a bilingual teaching qualification, having completed her general Education degree. She was doing her teaching placement in a school in Windsor Terrace in Brooklyn with a Chinese (Mandarin) bilingual program, teaching mainly in Chinese, and she envisions herself working in a similar environment in the future. She has lived in a Brooklyn neighborhood she describes as very Chinese since arriving to NYC.

Analysis: Four plurilingual student-teachers' stories

This section is organized around the most salient themes emerging from the interviews, which align closely to those introduced in our review of the literature, constructed following our initial analyses. Only a selection of excerpts representative of these themes is presented, although mention is made to their recurrence across the data corpus.

The 'native' speaker as monolingual. As has been mentioned above, the 'native' and 'non-native' speaker dichotomy emerges as salient for self-perceptions of linguistic competence in all cases. Furthermore, the 'native speaker' category was clearly constructed in our data as a synonym of the category of 'monolingual' and an antonym of the category 'bilingual'. This following extract from the interview with Jingya is a case in point.

Fragment 1

```
1. Emilee: Or in the schools, how would you define the native speaker?
   Jingya: Yeah, now that I, I, I define which is their parents they
3.
            speak English and when this guy or this girl was, was born
4.
            and she or he speaks English and, um, he or she couldn't
5.
            speak other language other than English. I think that's the
            native speakers like you have only ... Like monolingual.
6.
7. Emilee: Okay.
8. Jingya: Yeah that's it.
9. Emilee: Okay.
10. Jingya: You have like one language.
11. Emilee: So you can't be bilingual and ...
12. Jingya: Because I have the background in different countries
            so that's why it's bilingual.
14. Emilee: Okay.
15. Jingya: Mm-hmm (affirmative), so it's a different kind of thing.
16. Emilee: So you would count yourself as a bilingual speaker?
17. Jingya: Yes.
```

The extract begins with the interviewer bringing up the 'native' speaker. It should be noted that this is not a category introduced by the interviewer, but one that Jingya had herself introduced into the conversation previously. Following that, the interviewer prompted her to explain the category in the context of New York, in the context of Jingya's university studies and in the context of schools, thus leading to Fragment 1. Jingya makes it clear that nativeness in English is hereditary (i.e. your parents have to be English-speakers, lines 2-4), dependent on you having a background in a country where that language is dominant (line 12) and distinct from bi/plurilingualism (lines 4-17).

The ideal bilingual teacher as two monolinguals. Only one of our interviewees, Sara, considered herself a good model as a teacher. She saw herself as bilingual and a competent user of both English and Spanish. Unlike the other three interviewees, Sara was born and raised in New York. For the other three interviewees, bi/plurilingualism was constructed as problematic for being a good linguistic model as a teacher, and their self-positioning as non-natives in English was linked to feelings of unpreparedness for participation in the teaching profession. Hector, for example, had refused to sit for the language test that would allow him to be licensed as a bilingual teacher, despite being advised by his professors and school supervisors that he was indeed ready. The following fragment was prompted by a question from the interviewer about

whether being bilingual was an advantage for Hector's becoming a teacher. His response clearly demonstrates his concern that bilingual teachers are not adequate models for children.

```
Fragment 2:
    Hector: And second, is most of bilingual teachers who are English
2.
             native speakers ...
3.
    Emilee: Mm-hmm (affirmative).
    Hector: ... speaks horribly Spanish.
4.
5.
    Emilee: Okay.
6.
    Hector: They don't know how to pronounce, they don't know how to
7.
             spell, they don't know how to put accents. And most of the
8.
             bilingual teachers that I see in ... they are Spanish, uh,
9.
            native, native Spanish speakers ...
10. Emilee: Mm-hmm (affirmative).
11. Hector: ... they have very poor English.
12. Emilee: Mm-hmm (affirmative).
13. Hector: So those students are getting a good foundation in one
14.
            language ...
15. Emilee: Mmm.
16. Hector: ... and only one.
17. Emilee: Mmm.
18. Hector: For those who are teachers who are English speakers, they're
19.
             gonna get very good English.
20. Emilee: Mm-hmm (affirmative).
21. Hector: They're gonna come out with horrible Spanish.
22. Emilee: Mm-hmm (affirmative).
23. Hector: Horrible.
24. Emilee: Mm-hmm (affirmative).
25. Hector: And the other way, the other way around. Those students who
26.
             are working with, uh, Spanish native speakers who are
27.
            teaching both languages in the classroom ...
28. Emilee: Uh-huh.
29. Hector: ... are getting a lot of disadvantage in English ...
```

For Hector, it would be unusual for a single teacher to serve as a model bilingual teacher (i.e. native English speakers speak 'horrible' Spanish, lines 1-17, and native Spanish speakers speak 'poor' English, lines 18-29), whereas the model bilingual is two monolinguals in one – an idealization which arguably does not exist (Grosjean, 2010). Languages in contact are seen from the perspective of deficit – that is, as 'horrible' or 'poor' – and the same occurs with bilingual speakers who are represented as speakers who 'don't know' or are 'in disadvantage', instead of being seen as those best prepared for their professional and personal development. Under these premises, Hector may never feel fully capable of being a teacher, thus delaying his entrance into

the teaching profession. This delay due to a feeling of unpreparedness – which Hector elsewhere explains is disputed by his professors and teaching supervisors – also deprives potential students who may have similar linguistic trajectories from adequate bi/plurilingual role models.

Not just a 'native' speaker, but sounding like a 'local'. Something else that becomes clear in the interviews is that being considered a legitimate speaker is not just about nativeness, but about sounding like a local, an issue raised by Pennycook (2012). Thus, for Jingya, coming to New York meant training herself out of the British English she had learned at school in China, and into US English, in order to feel part of her new city. This is one of the first points she makes in the interview, and it is raised again by the interviewer in the following fragment.

Fragment 3:

```
    Emilee: Uh huh, but it's interesting that you learn the English, another British variety.
    Jingya: Yeah but now I, I'm trying to like change a little bit but I'm still half like British accent.
    Emilee: Really?
    Jingya: Yeah, yeah.
    Emilee: You sound very American to me.
    Jingya: No, no, no.
    Emilee: You sound very ... No?
    Jingya: Sometimes when I say something, there's a, wow, you still not change your, your accent?
```

Thus in the interview, Jingya points to self-surveilling practices and to surveillance by others (Martín Rojo, in press) not only in terms of sounding 'native', but also in terms of sounding 'local'; which she explains elsewhere is important to her for speaking with students and their parents. Despite having arrived in the United States several years ago, she claims she is still trying to change her accent in order to sound more American (e.g. line 3). Encouraged by the interviewer's lack of alignment, Jingya reveals how surveillance takes place for her and the evaluative frame to which it is associated. The interviewer, who is not from the USA, firstly implicitly does not ratify Jingya's evaluative stance of having a "half like British accent" (line 4) and then overtly takes a stance (Du Bois, 2007) claiming "You sound very American to me" (line 7). In lines 10-11 she claims her accent is not only monitored by herself, but also by others; she asks/is asked: "wow, you still not change your, your accent?". As we shall see in the following

section of this analysis, such linguistic surveillance is linked to a continual project of formal and informal language learning (Martín Rojo, in press).

The interview with Sara makes it clear that 'nativeness' in a given language does not always correlate with being 'local' and thus a 'legitimate' speaker. Not performing like a 'local' has very real consequences, even for 'natives'. Prior to the following fragment, Sara, the only one of our four interviews to consider themselves competent enough in her two languages to be a good linguistic model as a teacher, had been explaining how at school in New York her competence in both English and Spanish had favored both her academic and her social performance. However, when she went to the Dominican Republic to study in high school, her variety of Spanish became a problem for her.

Fragment 4

```
1. Maria:
            So then, how did, how did language play into that dynamic?
2. Sara:
            Well, what happens is if you're En, if your Spanish isn't
3.
            perfect, if you fumble your words, um, it, you are exposing
4.
            yourself as a Dominican York because nobody in their right
5.
            mind in those days ... This is in '87. So in those days you
            wouldn't, you wouldn't tell people that you're a Dominican
6.
            York. People would ask me. I would say, No, I'm not. I'm
7.
            just not allowed to go out. That's why you've never seen
8.
9.
10.
            And I was lucky because I had been there in 5th grade so I
11.
            had friends from before. And they would lie for me and say
12.
            that yes, I grew up in Dominican Republic and I had never
13.
            been to New York. Fascinating, but the Spanish then has to
14.
            be perfect because if you speak with an accent, if you, um,
15.
            can't do any code switching, you can't do the Spanglish.
            The Spanish really has to be on point or else they're going
16.
17.
            to notice that you're not from there. The colloquial
18.
            language, especially, has to be perfect. And that's where I
19.
            would trip up, because they would say things and I didn't
20.
            feel what that meant because it wasn't, you know, it was
21.
            kind of Spanish lingo. It was a lingo between the teenagers
22.
            that I didn't understand.
```

For Sara locality was not achieved through proficiency of the standard language but rather through the use and understanding of colloquial Spanish (lines 17-18). However, this was not sufficient as she still required the legitimization granted to her by other 'locals' (lines 10 -13). Sara's experiences completely counter Jingya's assertions that sounding like a 'local' results in

increased legitimacy. This brings to light the idea that being a 'local', and/or attaining 'local' status, is much more complex and nuanced than the employment of 'proper' syntax and pronunciation. Ultimately, Sara's experiences indicate that without in some way acknowledging your foreignness - either to the place or to the colloquialism - local status is unattainable. Sara continues in the interview to explain that her ability to function in different varieties of Spanish is an advantage for her as a teacher, as she is able to relate to her students' parents with a repertoire that is familiar to them.

Training oneself to meet the model. In the following excerpt we return to Hector's interview and notice the ways in which a teacher candidate's lack of confidence in their linguistic abilities can send them on a 'wild goose chase', and, in Hector's case, exclude him from the competition for social and linguistic resources, restricting his own opportunities of social mobility and success.

Fragment 5

```
1. Hector: And when I was graduating last year ...
2. Emilee: Mm-hmm (affirmative).
3. Hector: We had to, we had to teach- I told my boss, I am not gonna
           be teaching next year. Why? Cause when I was doing my
4.
5.
           bachelor's degree, I had to wait almost one year to get into
6.
            two English grammar courses that I wanted to take because I
            didn't know the language. I did that. And I'm very happy
7.
            because I was able to improve a lot.
8.
9. Emilee: Okay.
10. Hector: Then, I was trying to get into other English courses that I
           believe are very important for me, in order to be proficient
11.
12.
            in the language ...
```

By engaging in the same kind of self-surveillance that Jingya used, Hector made the decision that he was not ready to begin his teaching career (lines 3-4) – not until he took a few more English grammar courses (lines 5-8). The 'native' speaker model can only be met through linguistic training and given that the focus on grammar and standard language (lines 5-7) was prevalent throughout the interviews, both of these components would be the object of formal linguistic instruction (Martín Rojo, in preparation). All of the participants placed a great deal of value on knowing all of the grammatical rules of a language before being able to consider themselves proficient speakers (lines 10-12). This emphasis on grammar is related to a view of competence as purely grammatical, and objectively measurable (by tests, for example), independently of the

prestige of the languages, uses and speakers involved. This refers to what Valdés (2001) calls the 'instructional dilemma', which centers teaching practices on grammar despite negative results. Furthermore, these conceptions reinforce the position of language 'knower' (those who have the knowledge of grammar) over speaker (those who know how to use the language). The importance of 'knowing' languages over speaking them has been used in institutions in the USA to keep heritage students – who might not know grammar – out of courses that precisely would give them the opportunities to acquire new features and structures of their languages.

It is important to note that although all of the participants were able to identify features and characteristics of teachers who failed to meet the model, none of the participants named a single person whom they considered to be a good, native speaker (note that we didn't ask them to name either). Rather the models they had constructed were greatly dependent on ideas of perfection and standardization, rather than on lived experiences.

An alternative discourse: Being resourcefully bi/plurilingual. Among the students we have studied, only Sabia constructed different linguistic ideologies and values. Born in Bangladesh and schooled there and in New York, she has (at least) four languages in her repertoire: Bangla, Arabic, English and Spanish. The fact she was schooled in a Spanish-English dual program when she arrived, made her not only bi/plurilingual but also allowed her to experience languages as detached from territories and nationalities.

```
1. Emilee: And when you say that you mix languages, I suppose that the
2.
            school you also see kids do that a lot.
3.
  Sabia:
           Mm-hmm (affirmative).
  Emilee: And, the curriculum, for example, would tell you that you
4.
5.
            shouldn't be doing that. You know what I mean? The kids have
6.
            to learn to separate their languages. Would you agree with
7.
8.
   Sabia:
            I don't ... It's not harmful. It's, for me, I find it's
            helpful. But I could, if I need to speak in one language,
9.
            then I am able to do that. Because I'm speaking to you right
10.
            now and I didn't use any language, in my language. I could
11.
            do that. But, so, like, for me, if you teach that to your
12.
13.
            kid that they can use both language and they have, you know,
14.
            they're open to many language as possible, they would know
15.
            when to use a language, when to not use a language, when to
            mix another language to help them better understand the
16.
17.
            curriculum or anything.
18. Emilee: Mm-hmm (affirmative).
```

19. Sabia: Or just to be friends with someone.

In contrast with the other interviewees, she admits to mixing languages, which she considers a legitimate social practice, a pedagogical resource for teaching languages and other school subjects, and a relational strategy in and beyond the classroom. To some extent, she refuses to discipline herself and her students by adopting a monolingual pattern in separate languages, and further refutes the idea that her bi/plurilingualism might be related to incompetence in speaking just one or another language. Rather, she constructs her ability to draw on different resources in her repertoire in terms of competence, what she *can* do: "I could, if I need to speak in one language, then I am able to do that. Because I'm speaking to you right now and I didn't use any language, in my language. I could do that" (lines 9-12). Thus, as she carefully states, mixing languages is not the result of a deficit but part of the repertoire that makes her a 'resourceful' speaker.

Discussion

The four interviewees have brought attention to issues around linguistic nativeness as they impact students, teachers and teacher candidates. While as researchers and educators our own position is that discourses such as those produced by Sabia are the most promising, the research has demonstrated that beliefs around nativeness and some students' lack of confidence in their own linguistic abilities are deeply ingrained. In this section we would like to explore possible ways for City College to support these students in their current trajectories while shifting the discourse for future students.

In a time of high accountability - with teachers under enormous pressure from authorities for attaining performance indicators - it is often said that we must not overlook the emotional and psychological impacts that means of accountability (often high stakes testing) have on students. The same could be said about teacher candidates, who are also at their core, students who must also face high stakes tests. The social-emotional impact of teacher certification exams must be taken into account when a student like Hector decides to postpone his entry into the field, at least partly because he questions his English proficiency even after being told by peers and educators alike that he is ready to transition into the classroom. The truth of the matter is that teaching has become an increasingly high stress profession, particularly for those who work with linguistically

and ethnically diverse youths, who are also regularly evaluated on their mastery of the English language. Given that English language arts is one of the two most heavily assessed content areas, it is no wonder that teacher candidates are concerned with sounding native in order to be the ideal teacher model.

The native speaker model and its effects on bi/plurilingual speakers is an issue that should be of concern to CUNY, particularly as it impacts the bi/plurilingual teacher candidates that are educated there. Although teacher education tends to concern itself with the history of education, policy and teaching and learning methods, perhaps they should also explicitly and critically take up the concept of teacher models. It is evident from the interviews that the students within the TESOL and Bilingual Education programs at City College would benefit greatly from presentations of alternative models of speakers. These models could be presented in a myriad of ways: by highlighting the linguistic diversity of the faculty, by pairing students with linguistically diverse classroom teachers for observations and student teaching, and lastly by providing a space in which linguistically diverse teacher candidates can discuss their concerns around the ideal teacher model and also present the benefits of having linguistically diverse teachers in the classroom.

From a critical pedagogy stance, it is imperative that teacher candidates like Sara, Jinya, Hector and Sabia, *together with their monolingual peers*, learn to question models of nativeness not only to benefit themselves but also in order to benefit their students and the teaching profession. Teachers with diverse linguistic backgrounds are needed in the classroom because they model possibility for students who are also part of a language minority and diversity for those who are not. Teachers with diverse linguistic backgrounds also have the capacity to nurture the profession by offering a counter-narrative to the discourse that implies that nativeness and locality are more valuable than being able to present and live one's truth.

Institutions should develop a culture that values, supports and maintains the linguistic diversity across its graduates. More specifically, for bilingual and multilingual education teacher education programs to be true to their missions of valuing, supporting and maintaining linguistic diversity, they must first start with their own students.

References

- Amim, Z. (2001). Nativism, the native speaker construct and minority women immigrant teachers of English as a second language. *The CATESOL Journal*, 13 (1), 89 107.
- Bloomfield, L. (1933). Language. New York: Henry Holt.
- Du Bois, J. W. (2007). The stance triangle. In R. Englebretson (Ed.), *Stancetaking in discourse:* Subjectivity, evaluation, interaction (pp. 139-182). Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- García, O. (2009). Bilingual education in the 21st century: A global perspective. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- García, O. & Wei, L. (2014). *Translanguaging: Language, bilingualism and education*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Grosjean, F. (2010). Bilingual: Life and reality. Harvard: Harvard University Press.
- Heller, M. (2013). Language and dis-citizenship in Canada. *Journal of Language, Identity & Education*, 12 (3), 189-192. DOI:10.1080/15348458.2013.797272
- Leung, C., Harris, R. & Rampton, B. (1997). The idealised native speaker, reified ethnicities and classroom realities. *TESOL Quarterly*, 31, 543 560. DOI: 10.2307/3587837
- Lippi-Green, R. (1997). English with an accent: Language, ideology and discrimination in the *United States*. London: Routledge.
- Lüdi, G. & Py, B. (2009). To be or not to be ... a plurilingual speaker. *International Journal of Multilingualism*, 6 (2), 154-167. DOI:10.1080/14790710902846715
- Makoni, S. & Pennycook, A. (2007). *Disinventing and reconstituting languages*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.
- Martin Rojo, L. (in press). Language and Power. In O. Garcia, M. Spotti & N. Flores (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of language and society*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Martín Rojo, L. (in preparation) 'Non-native subjectivities: The impact of the native-speaker model in the construction of inequality'.
- Moore, E. & Nussbaum, L. (in press). El plurilingüismo en la formación del alumnado de ESO. In Masats, D. & Nussbaum, L. (Eds), *Didáctica de las lenguas extranjeras en la Educación Secundaria Obligatoria*. Madrid: Síntesis.
- Moussu, L. & Llurda, E. (2008). Non-native English-speaking English language teachers: History and research. *Language Teaching*, 41 (3), 315–348. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0261444808005028
- Office of Institutional Research The City College of New York (2015). *City facts fall 2005 2014 student demographics*. Retrieved November 2015 from https://www.ccny.cuny.edu/sites/default/files/City_Facts_Fall_2014_0.pdf
- Otheguy, R., García, O., Wallis, R. (2015). Clarifying translanguaging and deconstructing named languages: A perspective from linguistics. *Applied Linguistics Review*, 6 (3), 281–307. DOI 10.1515/applirev-2015-0014
- Pavlenko, A. (2003). I never know I was a bilingual: Reimagining teacher identities in TESOL. *Journal of Language, Identity and Education, 2* (4), 251–268. DOI:10.1207/S15327701JLIE0204_2
- Pavlenko, A. (2008). Narrative analysis. In Li Wei & M. Moyer (Eds.), *Blackwell guide to research methods in bilingualism and multilingualism*. Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Pennycook, A. (2001). *Critical applied linguistics. A critical introduction*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Pennycook, A. (2012). Language and mobility: Unexpected places. Bristol: Multilingual matters.

- Ricento, T. (2013). Language policy, ideology and attitudes in English-dominant countries. In R. Bayley, R. Cameron, & C. Lucas (Eds.). *The Oxford handbook of sociolinguistics* (pp. 525 543). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Santamarina, C. y Marinas Herreras, J.M. (1995). Historias de vida e historia oral. In J. M. Delgado, & J. G. Fernández (Eds.). *Métodos y técnicas cualitativas de investigación en ciencias sociales* (pp. 259-287). Madrid: Síntesis.
- Taylor Shockley, M (2013). Book Review: Community Oral History Toolkit [Review of Community Oral History Toolkit by N. Mackay, M. K. Quinlan, & B. W. Sommer] *The Public Historian*, 35 (4), 80-82.
- The City College of New York (n.d.). *Bilingual education & TESOL programs*. Retrieved November 2015 from https://www.ccny.cuny.edu/bilingual
- The City College of New York (2013). City College Fast Facts 2013. Retrieved November 2015 from
 - https://www.ccny.cuny.edu/sites/default/files/institutionalresearch/upload/CCNY_Fast_Facts_2013-2.pdfhttps://www.ccny.cuny.edu/sites/default/files/institutionalresearc
- Talmy, S. (2010). The interview as collaborative achievement: Interaction, identity, and ideology in a speech event. *Applied Linguistics*, 32 (1), 25-42. DOI: 10.1093/applin/amq027
- United States Census Bureau (2011). *American community survey*. http://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/
- Valdés, G. (2001) Learning and not learning English: Latino students in American schools. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Woolard, K.A. (1998). Introduction: Language ideology as a field of inquiry. In B. Schiefflin, K. Woolard & P. Kroskrity (Eds.), *Language ideologies: Practice and theory* (pp. 347). New York: Oxford University Press.

Author's information: María Cioè-Peña is a member of the teaching staff at the City University of New York. She has an undergraduate degree in English and a Master's degree in teaching urban students with disabilities. She is currently pursuing her PhD in the department of Urban Education at the CUNY Graduate Center where she is a Presidential MAGNET Fellow. Her research focuses on bilingual children with disabilities and their ability to access multilingual learning spaces within NYC public schools. Her interest are deeply rooted in her experience as a bilingual special education teacher with a focus on language practices and disability awareness within schools and families.

Email: mcp.pena@gmail.com

Author's information: Emilee Moore is a postdoctoral researcher in the Beatriu de Pinós program (Generalitat de Catalunya) affiliated with the University of Leeds. She studies meaning-making practices in multilingual and multicultural educational contexts from a perspective integrating linguistic anthropology, interactional sociolinguistics, ethnomethodology and sociocultural learning theories. She was a university lecturer in Barcelona for about 5 years, where she helped develop teachers for multilingual preschools, primary and secondary schools, and for multilingual tertiary education. She was a visiting scholar at the Graduate Centre of CUNY at the time this research was conducted, funded by the Societat Econòmica Barcelonesa d'Amics del País and by Beatriu de Pinós. **Email:** e.mooredeluca@leeds.ac.uk

Author's information: Luisa Martín Rojo is Professor of Linguistics at the Universidad Autonoma de Madrid. She specializes in Sociolinguistics, and has published work on such topics such as multilingualism and multilingual education, political discourse and representations of migrants, the Latino diaspora and linguistic practices produced by the Indignados/occupied movements in public spaces. At the time of this research she was a fellow in the CUNY

Advanced Collaborative Research Centre and a participant in the doctoral seminar from which this research emerged. orcid.org/0000-0003-2462-1955

Email: luisa.rojo@uam.es

Appendix

Interview prompt

Personal trajectory

We'd firstly just like to know a bit about you.

What do you do right now? (study, work,..)

Where do you live (and work, if not a student at City College or if they have employment as well)?

So, were you born in NY?

If not, what brought you here? Who did you come with?

Where else have you lived / worked?

Where do you feel like you are from?

Are you in contact with people in other places? How do you maintain that contact?

Linguistic trajectory

Could you tell us what languages you know? (e.g. written Chinese - simplified, traditional?)

How / where did you learn / start using those languages?

Do you still use your different languages (when, where, with whom)?

Can you remember what languages were present in your surroundings growing up (family, neighborhood, friends)?

Can you remember what languages were present at your schools? What was their status? What was your experience as a student - do you remember it being bilingual or monolingual?

Did your language practices differ from the standards expected in school?

Do you think that your experience of your languages is similar / different to the experiences of your students / future students?

Teacher trajectory

What made you want to be a bilingual teacher?

What did you expect of your teacher education, especially in terms of the languages that you would be exposed to?

So, have these expectations been met? What languages are / were present in your education here (in your courses, amongst your peers, etc.)?

Are / were all languages valued equally in your program? Why/not? Are you ok with this?

What about the requirements for joining bilingual teacher education programs or other programs in language teaching? Have these requirements been an obstacle / opportunity for you?

And when you go / went on school placements, what type of linguistic diversity do / did you see at the schools, in your classrooms, in the neighborhoods you are going to?

Does / did your teacher education prepare you for the linguistic diversity you are experiencing?

What differences or similarities are you observing now as a teacher in terms of your own experiences of languages in elementary or secondary school?

Native/non-native subjectivities

Who would you define as a native speaker of x, a bilingual speaker, a good speaker, ...? Has anybody ever questioned your competence? What happened? How did you react? Has knowing more than one language ever been an obstacle or an advantage for you?

In terms of the future

How do you imagine the future in terms of language in society in general? Will linguistic diversity be more or less valued?

And in schools?

What do you think your role will be in this as a teacher?

Do you think you will be / have been prepared as a teacher for the future that you imagine?

To cite this article:

Cioè-Peña, M., Moore, E., & Rojo, L-M. (2016). The burden of 'nativeness': Four plurilingual student-teachers' stories. *Bellaterra Journal of Teaching & Learning Language & Literature*, 9(2), 32-52. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5565/rev/jtl3.677



¹ The research was also supported by the CUNY Advanced Collaborative Research Centre project 'Non-native subjectivities: Exploring the impact of the native speaker model in the construction of inequality in education' led by Luisa Martín Rojo.