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Abstract
Consider the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator

$$
M f(x)=\sup _{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}|f(y)| d y .
$$

It is known that $M$ applied to $f$ twice is pointwise comparable to the maximal operator $M_{L \log L} f$, defined by replacing the mean value of $|f|$ over the cube $Q$ by the $L \log L$-mean, namely

$$
M_{L \log L} f(x)=\sup _{x \in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}|f(y)| \log \left(e+\frac{|f|}{|f|_{Q}}\right)(y) d y
$$

where $|f|_{Q}=\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}|f|$ (see $\left.[\mathbf{L}],[\mathbf{L N}],[\mathbf{P}]\right)$.
In this paper we prove that, more generally, if $\Phi(t)$ and $\Psi(t)$ are two Young functions, there exists a third function $\Theta(t)$, whose explicit form is given as a function of $\Phi(t)$ and $\Psi(t)$, such that the composition $M_{\Psi} \circ M_{\Phi}$ is pointwise comparable to $M_{\Theta}$. Through the paper, given an Orlicz function $A(t)$, by $M_{A} f$ we mean

$$
M_{A} f(x)=\sup _{Q \ni x}\|f\|_{A, Q}
$$

where $\|f\|_{A, Q}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} A\left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda}\right)(x) d x \leq 1\right\}$.

## 1. Introduction.

Let $f \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator $M f$ of $f$ is defined by

$$
M f(x)=\sup _{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}|f(y)| d y
$$

A well-known result of Coifman and Rochberg, (see $[\mathbf{C R}],[\mathbf{T}]$ ), states that if $M f<\infty$ a.e. and if $\delta \in(0,1)$, then $(M f)^{\delta} \in A_{1}$, where $A_{1}$ is the Muckenhoupt class of the non negative weights $w$ such that

$$
A_{1}(w)=\sup _{Q} \frac{f_{Q} w}{\operatorname{essinf}_{Q} w}<\infty
$$

where $f_{Q} w$ stands for the average of $w$ over $Q$ and the supremum being taken over all cubes $Q$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Setting

$$
M_{r} f=\sup _{Q \ni x}\left(f_{Q}|f|^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \quad r>1
$$

from the mentioned result, with $\delta=\frac{1}{r}$, it follows that $M \circ M_{r} \sim M_{r}$. This means that there exists a constant $c$, such that

$$
M_{r} f(x) \leq M\left(M_{r} f(x)\right) \leq c M_{r} f(x) \quad \text { a.e. in } \quad \mathbb{R}^{n} .
$$

For $r=1$ the situation is different, namely we have that $M \circ M \sim$ $M_{L \log L}$, i.e.

$$
c_{1} M_{L \log L} f(x) \leq M(M f(x)) \leq c_{2} M_{L \log L} f(x) \quad \text { a.e. in } \quad \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

(see $[\mathbf{L}],[\mathbf{L N}],[\mathbf{P}]$ ), and this corresponds to Stein's result, i.e. for $f$ supported in a cube $Q$

$$
f \in L \log L(Q) \Longleftrightarrow M f \in L^{1}(Q)
$$

(see $[\mathbf{S}]$ ). The maximal operator $M_{L \log L} f$ is defined by replacing the mean value of $|f|$ over the cube $Q$ by the $L \log L$-mean, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{L \log L} f(x)=\sup _{x \in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}|f(y)| \log \left(e+\frac{|f|}{|f|_{Q}}\right)(y) d y \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|f|_{Q}=\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}|f|$.
The previous results justify the introduction of a maximal operator in an Orlicz space such as $L \log L$.

More precisely, let $\Omega$ be a cube of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. A continuosly increasing function on $[0, \infty]$, say $\Psi:[0, \infty] \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ such that $\Psi(0)=0, \Psi(1)=1$ and $\Psi(\infty)=\infty$, will be referred to as an Orlicz function.

The generalized Orlicz space denoted by $L^{\Psi}(\Omega)$ consists of all functions $g: \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \Psi\left(\frac{|g|}{\lambda}\right)(x) d x<\infty
$$

for some $\lambda>0$.
Let us define the $\Psi$-average of $g$ over a cube $Q$ contained in $\Omega$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|g\|_{\Psi, Q}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: f_{Q} \Psi\left(\frac{|g|}{\lambda}\right)(x) d x \leq 1\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\Psi(t)$ is a Young function, i.e. a convex Orlicz function, the quantity

$$
\|g\|_{\Psi}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \int_{\Omega} \Psi\left(\frac{|g|}{\lambda}\right)(x) d x \leq 1\right\}
$$

is the well known Luxemburg norm in the space $L^{\Psi}(\Omega)$ (see $[\mathbf{K R}],[\mathbf{R R}]$ ).
If $f \in L^{\Psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, the maximal function of $f$ with respect to $\Psi$ is defined by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\Psi} f(x)=\sup _{x \in Q}\|f\|_{\Psi, Q} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes $Q$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ containing $x$ with sides parallel to the coordinate axes.

Let us remark that if we choose $\Psi(t)=t \log (e+t)$, the maximal operator $M_{\Psi} f$ defined by (1.3) is equivalent to the $M_{L \log L}$ operator defined by (1.1) (see [IS]).

In this paper we generalize the mentioned results: namely, given two Young functions $\Phi(t)$ and $\Psi(t)$, we get a third Young function $\Theta(t)$, such that the composition, $M_{\Psi} \circ M_{\Phi}$, between $M_{\Phi}$ and $M_{\Psi}$ is equivalent to the operator $M_{\Theta}$.

As an application, we reobtain, in a simple way, the Herz type inequality for the nonincreasing rearrangement of the maximal operator in $L \log L$ (see [B]).

Moreover, we obtain a pointwise estimate for the maximal function of the jacobian of a function $f$ such that $|D f|^{n}$ belongs to $L^{1}$.

## 2. The main result.

Let $\Omega$ be a cube of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and set

$$
\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi} f(x)=\sup _{x \in Q \subseteq \Omega}\|f\|_{\Phi, Q}
$$

First, let us prove a result which will be useful in the following.

Theorem 1. Let $\Psi(t)$ be an Orlicz function and $\Phi(t)$ be a Young one. For

$$
\Theta(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \Psi^{\prime}(s) \Phi\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) d s
$$

there exist two positive constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}\left\|\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi} f\right\|_{\Psi, \Omega} \leq\|f\|_{\Theta, \Omega} \leq c_{2}\left\|\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi} f\right\|_{\Psi, \Omega} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $f \in L^{\Theta}(\Omega)$.
Proof: In order to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi} f\right\|_{\Psi, \Omega} \leq c\|f\|_{\Theta, \Omega} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we use the following equality:

$$
\int_{\Omega} \Psi\left(\frac{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi} f(x)}{\lambda}\right) d x=\int_{0}^{\infty} \Psi^{\prime}(t)\left|\left\{x \in \Omega: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi} f(x)>t \lambda\right\}\right| d t
$$

Let us set

$$
E_{t \lambda}=\left\{x \in \Omega: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi} f(x)>t \lambda\right\}
$$

Thanks to Proposition 4.1 in $[\mathbf{B P}]$, we can consider a sequence of cubes $\left\{Q_{k}\right\}$ such that

$$
E_{t \lambda}=\cup_{k} Q_{k} \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{Q_{k}} \Phi\left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda t}\right)(x) d x>\left|Q_{k}\right|
$$

Now, we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|Q_{k}\right|<\int_{Q_{k}} \Phi\left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda t}\right)(x) d x \\
& =\int_{\left\{x \in \Omega:|f|>\frac{\lambda t}{2}\right\} \cap Q_{k}} \Phi\left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda t}\right)(x) d x+\int_{\left\{x \in \Omega:|f| \leq \frac{\lambda t}{2}\right\} \cap Q_{k}} \Phi\left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda t}\right)(x) d x \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\left\{x \in \Omega:|f|>\frac{\lambda t}{2}\right\} \cap Q_{k}} \Phi\left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda t}\right)(x) d x+\Phi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left|Q_{k}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume $\Phi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)<1$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|Q_{k}\right| & <c \int_{\left\{x \in \Omega:|f|>\frac{\lambda t}{2}\right\} \cap Q_{k}} \Phi\left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda t}\right)(x) d x \\
& <c \int_{\left\{x \in \Omega:|f|>\frac{\lambda t}{2}\right\} \cap Q_{k}} \Phi\left(\frac{2|f|}{\lambda t}\right)(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

by monotonicity of $\Phi$.
We get

$$
\left|E_{t \lambda}\right| \leq c \int_{\left\{x \in \Omega:|f|>\frac{\lambda t}{2}\right\} \cap E_{t \lambda}} \Phi\left(\frac{2|f|}{\lambda t}\right)(x) d x
$$

After that, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} \Psi\left(\frac{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi} f(x)}{\lambda}\right) d x & \leq c \int_{0}^{\infty} \Psi^{\prime}(t) \int_{\left\{x \in \Omega:|f|>\frac{\lambda t}{2}\right\}} \Phi\left(\frac{2|f|}{\lambda t}\right)(x) d x d t \\
& =c \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\frac{2|f|}{\lambda}} \Psi^{\prime}(t) \Phi\left(\frac{2|f|}{\lambda t}\right)(x) d t d x  \tag{2.3}\\
& =c \int_{\Omega} \Theta\left(\frac{2|f|}{\lambda}(x)\right) d x .
\end{align*}
$$

By estimate above, we have (2.2). Now, we have to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\Theta, \Omega} \leq c\left\|\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi} f\right\|_{\Psi, \Omega} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Calderon-Zygmund lemma, we may cover $E_{t \lambda}=\left\{x \in \Omega: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi} f(x)>\right.$ $t \lambda\}$ by a sequence of nonoverlapping cubes $Q_{k}$, each having the property

$$
2^{-n}\left|Q_{k}\right| \leq\left|Q_{k} \cap E_{t \lambda}\right|<\left|Q_{k}\right|
$$

and such that

$$
2^{n}\left|E_{t \lambda}\right| \geq \sum\left|Q_{k}\right| \geq \sum \int_{Q_{k}} \Phi\left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda t}\right) d x \geq \int_{E_{t \lambda}} \Phi\left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda t}\right) d x
$$

We have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \Psi\left(\frac{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi} f(x)}{\lambda}\right) d x \geq \tilde{c} \int_{\Omega} \Theta\left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda}(x)\right) d x \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \Psi\left(\frac{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi} f(x)}{\lambda}\right) d x & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \Psi^{\prime}(t)\left|\left\{x \in \Omega: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi} f(x)>t \lambda\right\}\right| d t \\
& \geq c \int_{0}^{\infty} \Psi^{\prime}(t) \int_{E_{t \lambda}} \Phi\left(\frac{|f|}{t \lambda}\right) d x d t \\
& =c \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\frac{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi}(f)}{\lambda}} \Psi^{\prime}(t) \Phi\left(\frac{|f|}{t \lambda}\right) d t d x \\
& \geq c \int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\frac{f(x)}{\lambda}} \Psi^{\prime}(t) \Phi\left(\frac{|f|}{t \lambda}\right) d t d x
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\Phi(t)$ is convex.
Finally, we get

$$
\int_{\Omega} \Psi\left(\frac{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi} f(x)}{\lambda}\right) d x \geq c \int_{\Omega} \Theta\left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda}\right) d x
$$

which implies (2.4), then the theorem is proved

Remark 1. Theorem 1 with $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ both Young functions, is proved in $[\mathbf{B P}]$.

Moreover, in the particular case $\Phi(t)=t$ and $\Psi(t)$ any Orlicz function, Theorem 1 gives Proposition 3.1 of [GIM].

Using the previous result, we develop a useful estimate for the composition $M_{\Psi} \circ M_{\Phi}$, where $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are Young functions.

Theorem 2. Let $\Psi(t)$ and $\Phi(t)$ be two Young functions. For

$$
\Theta(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \Psi^{\prime}(s) \Phi\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) d s
$$

there exist two positive constants, $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, such that for every $f \in$ $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\Theta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} M_{\Theta} f(x) \leq M_{\Psi}\left(M_{\Phi} f(x)\right) \leq c_{2} M_{\Theta} f(x) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

almost everywhere.
Proof: Let us fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and a cube $Q$ containing $x$. Put $f=f_{1}+f_{2}$ with $f_{1}=f \chi_{3 Q}$, we have, by triangle inequality of the Luxemburg norm $\left\|\|_{\Psi}\right.$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M_{\Phi} f\right\|_{\Psi, Q} \leq\left\|M_{\Phi} f_{1}\right\|_{\Psi, Q}+\left\|M_{\Phi} f_{2}\right\|_{\Psi, Q}=I+I I . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to estimate $I$, consider

$$
\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi} f(x)=\sup \left\{\|f\|_{\Phi, \bar{Q}}: x \in \bar{Q}, \bar{Q} \subseteq 3 Q\right\}
$$

and we observe that there exists a constant $c(n)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\Phi} f_{1}(x) \leq c(n) \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi} f_{1}(x) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Namely, for every cube $\tilde{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}, \tilde{Q} \ni x, \tilde{Q} \cap \mathcal{C}(3 Q) \neq \emptyset$ the following inequality holds

$$
f_{\tilde{Q}} \Phi\left(\left|f_{1}\right|\right)=\frac{1}{|\tilde{Q}|} \int_{\tilde{Q} \cap 3 Q} \Phi\left(\left|f_{1}\right|\right) \leq 3^{n} f_{3 Q} \Phi\left(\left|f_{1}\right|\right)
$$

and then, if $\lambda>0$ is such that

$$
f_{3 Q} \Phi\left(\frac{\left|f_{1}\right|}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1
$$

we have

$$
\frac{1}{3^{n}} \int_{\tilde{Q}} \Phi\left(\frac{\left|f_{1}\right|}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1
$$

By convexity of $\Phi$, we get

$$
f_{\tilde{Q}} \Phi\left(\frac{\left|f_{1}\right|}{3^{n} \lambda}\right) \leq 1
$$

and this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{\Phi, \tilde{Q}} \leq 3^{n}| | f_{1} \|_{\Phi, 3 Q} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (2.9) is trivial if $\tilde{Q} \subseteq 3 Q$.
Observing that $\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{\Phi, 3 Q} \leq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\Phi} f_{1}(x)$ and taking the supremum over all cubes $\tilde{Q}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ containing $x$ on the left hand side of (2.9), we have (2.8). By formulas (2.8) and (2.2), applied with $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\Omega=3 Q$, we deduce

$$
I=\left\|M_{\Phi} f_{1}\right\|_{\Psi, Q} \leq C\|f\|_{\Theta, Q}
$$

To estimate $I I$ it suffices to observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\Phi} f_{2}(y) \leq C \inf _{Q} M_{\Phi} f_{2} \quad \forall y \in Q \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, let us fix a point $y \in Q$ and a cube $\bar{Q} \ni y$ such that $\bar{Q} \cap \mathcal{C}(3 Q) \neq \emptyset$; the cube $3 \bar{Q}$ contains every point $x \in Q$. Reasoning as before, we obtain

$$
\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{\Phi, \bar{Q}} \leq 3^{n}\left\|f_{2}\right\|_{\Phi, 3 \bar{Q}} \leq C M_{\Phi} f_{2}(x)
$$

and so (2.10). Now we observe that there are positive constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, t_{0}$, depending on $\Phi$ and $\Psi$, such that $\Phi\left(c_{1} t\right) \leq c_{2} \Theta(t)$, for $t \geq t_{0}$. Namely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta(t) & =\int_{0}^{t} \Psi^{\prime}(s) \Phi\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) d s \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{t} \Psi(s) \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) \frac{t}{s^{2}} d s \\
& \geq c_{0} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \Phi^{\prime}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) \frac{t}{s^{2}} d s \\
& =c_{0} \int_{1}^{\frac{t}{t_{0}}} \Phi^{\prime}(\sigma) d \sigma=c_{2}^{-1} \Phi\left(\frac{t}{t_{0}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and then there exists a positive constant $c_{3}$ such that $M_{\Phi} f(x) \leq c_{3} M_{\Theta} f(x)$ a.e. .

By (2.7), (2.9) and (2.10), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M_{\Phi} f\right\|_{\Psi, Q} \leq C_{1}\|f\|_{\Theta, Q}+C_{2} M_{\Theta} f(x) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the supremum over the cubes $Q$ containing $x$ in (2.11), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\Psi}\left(M_{\Phi} f(x)\right) \leq c_{2} M_{\Theta} f(x) \quad \text { a.e. . } \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, formula (2.4) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\Psi}\left(M_{\Phi} f(x)\right) \geq c_{1} M_{\Theta} f(x) \quad \text { a.e. } \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formulas (2.12) and (2.13) give the thesis.
Let us give some example of such compositions.
Example 1. Let us consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi(t)=t^{p} \\
& \Phi(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & t \leq 1 \\
t^{q} & t>1,
\end{array} \quad p, q \geq 1\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

recalling that

$$
M_{r} f(x)=\sup _{x \in Q}\left(f_{Q} f^{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{p} f(x)=M_{\Psi} f(x) \\
& M_{q} f(x)=M_{\Phi} f(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2 implies that

$$
M_{p} \circ M_{q} \sim \begin{cases}M_{r} & r=\max \{p, q\}, p \neq q \\ M_{L^{q} \log L} & p=q\end{cases}
$$

Let us note that in some very special cases one can determine the constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$.

Example 2. For $r>1$, if $f$ is a nonincreasing function $f:(0, \infty) \rightarrow$ $(0, \infty)$ then

$$
M_{r} f(x) \leq M\left(M_{r} f(x)\right) \leq \frac{r}{r-1} M_{r} f(x)
$$

by Kolmogorov inequality (see [BDS]). Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{x} f(t)\left[1+\log \frac{x f(t)}{\int_{0}^{x} f(s) d s}\right] d t & \leq M(M f(x)) \\
& \leq \frac{e}{e-1} \int_{0}^{x} f(t)\left[1+\log \frac{x f(t)}{\int_{0}^{x} f(s) d s}\right] d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us consider Bagby's formula, (see [B]), for such $f$. If $\Phi_{\alpha}(t)=t[1+$ $\left.\left(\log ^{+} t\right)^{\alpha}\right], \alpha>0$, then

$$
c_{1} M_{\Phi_{\alpha}} f(t) \leq \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\log \frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha} f(s) d s \leq c_{2} M_{\Phi_{\alpha}} f(t)
$$

and observe that $M_{\Phi_{\alpha}} \circ M_{\Phi_{\beta}} \sim M_{\Phi_{\alpha+\beta+1}}$.
Remark 2. If in Theorem $2 \Phi(t)=t$, we get

$$
\frac{\Theta(t)}{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\Psi^{\prime}(s)}{s} d s
$$

It is easy to verify that

$$
c_{1} M_{\Psi} f(x) \leq M_{\Psi}(M f)(x) \leq c_{2} M_{\Psi} f(x)
$$

if and only if $\Psi(t)=A(t) t^{p}$, where $A(t)$ is a continuous increasing function and $p>1$.
Moreover, we have that

$$
c_{1} M_{\Phi} f(x) \leq M\left(M_{\Phi} f\right)(x) \leq c_{2} M_{\Phi} f(x)
$$

if and only if $\Phi(t)=t^{p}$, where $p>1$. So, we reobtain the mentioned result of $[\mathbf{C R}]$.

## 3. Some consequences.

Corollary 1. Let $A(t)$ be a Young function in $(0, \infty)$. The $n$-composition, $M_{A} \circ M_{A} \circ \cdots \circ M_{A}$, of the maximal operator $M_{A}$, is equivalent to the maximal operator $M_{A_{n}}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} A^{\prime}(s) A_{n-1}\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) d s \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Put $A_{1}(t)=A(t)$. For $n=2,(3.1)$ follows by Theorem 1 . By an induction argument, we have the assertion for every positive integer $n$.

In particular, the $n$-iterate of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is equivalent to the operator

$$
M_{L \log ^{n-1} L}
$$

(see $[\mathbf{L}],[\mathbf{L N}],[\mathbf{P}]$ ).
Let $f$ be a measurable function defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We denote by $\mu$ the distribution function of $f$, namely, for $t>0$ we set

$$
\mu(t)=\left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|f(x)|>t\right\}\right| .
$$

Then we define the decreasing rearrangement $f^{*}$ of $f$ :

$$
f^{*}(s)=\sup \{t>0: \mu(t)>s\} \quad(s>0)
$$

The following theorem states the equivalence between $(M f)^{*}$ and $M\left(f^{*}\right)$.
Theorem 3 (Herz). If $f \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ then $\forall t>0$ it holds that

$$
4^{-n}(M f)^{*}(t) \leq M\left(f^{*}\right)(t) \leq\left(2^{n}+1\right)(M f)^{*}(t)
$$

Proof: See [BS].
Another corollary of Theorem 2 is the following Herz type inequality for the $L \log ^{n} L$-maximal operator.

Corollary 2. There exist $c_{1}(n), c_{2}(n)>0$ such that, if $f$ belongs to $L \log ^{k} L, k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\forall t>0$

$$
c_{1}\left(M_{L \log ^{k} L} f\right)^{*}(t) \leq M_{L \log ^{k} L}\left(f^{*}\right)(t) \leq c_{2}\left(M_{L \log ^{k} L} f\right)^{*}(t)
$$

Proof: Let us prove the thesis for $k=1$. Herz inequality states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}(M g)^{*}(t) \leq M\left(g^{*}\right)(t) \leq c_{2}(M g)^{*}(t) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formula (3.2), with $g$ replaced by $M f$, becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}(M \circ M(f))^{*}(t) \leq M\left((M f)^{*}\right)(t) \leq c_{2}(M \circ M(f))^{*}(t) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using (3.2) again in the right hand side of (3.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(M \circ M(f))^{*}(t) \sim M\left((M f)^{*}\right)(t) \sim M \circ M\left(f^{*}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Theorem 1 in (3.4), with $\Phi(t)=\Psi(t)=t$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M_{L \log L} f\right)^{*}(t) \sim(M \circ M(f))^{*} \sim M_{L \log L}\left(f^{*}\right)(t) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The thesis follows arguing by induction.
As another application of Theorem 2, we obtain a pointwise estimate about the maximal function of the jacobian of a function $f$ (see [IS], $[\mathbf{M}]$ ). Namely we have the following

Theorem 4. If $|D f|^{n} \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{L \log L} J(x) \leq c(n) M\left(|D f|^{n}\right)(x) \quad \text { a.e. } \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J=J_{f}(x) \geq 0$ is the jacobian of $f$.
Proof: In [IS] is proved that if $|D f|^{n} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for any cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, $0<\sigma<1$, then we have

$$
f_{\sigma Q} J d y \leq c(n)\left[f_{Q}|D f|^{\frac{n^{2}}{n+1}} d y\right]^{\frac{n+1}{n}}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
M J(x) \leq c(n)\left[M\left(|D f|^{n \frac{n}{n+1}}\right)\right]^{\frac{n+1}{n}}(x) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, thanks to Theorem 2, applying the maximal function $M$ to both sides in (3.7), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{L \log L}(J)(x) & \leq c M\left[\left(M\left(|D f|^{\frac{n}{n+1}}\right)\right)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}\right](x) \\
& =c\left(M_{\frac{n+1}{n}}\left(M\left[|D f|^{\frac{n}{2}_{n+1}^{n}}\right]\right)(x)\right)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}  \tag{3.8}\\
& \leq c M\left(|D f|^{n}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

as desired.

Remark 3. Let us observe that, in general, the following estimate

$$
M_{\Theta} J(x) \leq c(n) M_{\Psi}\left(|D f|^{n}\right)(x) \quad \text { a.e. } \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

holds, where $\Psi(t)$ is a Young function, $|D f|^{n} \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\Psi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and

$$
\Theta(t)=\Psi(t)+\frac{1}{n+1} t \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\Psi(s)}{s^{2}} d s
$$
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