

# CONFORMAL AND RELATED CHANGES OF METRIC ON THE PRODUCT OF TWO ALMOST CONTACT METRIC MANIFOLDS

D.E. BLAIR AND J.A. OUBIÑA

## Abstract

---

This paper studies conformal and related changes of the product metric on the product of two almost contact metric manifolds. It is shown that if one factor is Sasakian, the other is not, but that locally the second factor is of the type studied by Kenmotsu. The results are more general and given in terms of trans-Sasakian,  $\alpha$ -Sasakian and  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu structures.

---

## 1. Introduction

The product of an almost contact manifold  $M$  and the real line  $\mathbf{R}$  carries a natural almost complex structure. When this structure is integrable the almost contact structure is said to be *normal*. In the contact case, a normal contact metric manifold is called a *Sasakian manifold*. Moreover the product of two almost contact manifolds also carries a natural almost complex structure whose integrability is equivalent to the normality of both almost contact structures [8]. However if one takes  $M$  to be an almost contact metric manifold and supposes that the product metric  $G$  on  $M \times \mathbf{R}$  is Kaehlerian, then the structure on  $M$  is cosymplectic [4] and not Sasakian. On the other hand the second author pointed out in [9] that if the conformally related metric  $e^{2t}G$ ,  $t$  being the coordinate on  $\mathbf{R}$ , is Kaehlerian, then  $M$  is Sasakian and conversely. In [2] Capursi showed that for the product of two almost contact metric manifolds, the product metric is Kaehlerian if and only if both factors are cosymplectic. This raises the still open question: What kind of change of the product metric will make both factors Sasakian? Here we study conformal and related changes of the product metric and show that if one factor is Sasakian the other is not, but that locally the second factor is of the type studied by Kenmotsu [6]. This structure will be described in section 3 and in section 4 we shall consider trans-Sasakian structures [9], which will be used for our main results in section 5.

## 2. Almost contact manifolds

An *almost contact manifold* is an odd-dimensional  $C^\infty$  manifold whose structural group can be reduced to  $U(n) \times 1$ . This is equivalent to the existence of a tensor field  $\phi$  of type  $(1,1)$ , a vector field  $\xi$  and a 1-form  $\eta$  satisfying  $\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi$  and  $\eta(\xi) = 1$ . From these conditions one can deduce that  $\phi\xi = 0$  and  $\eta \circ \phi = 0$ . A Riemannian metric  $g$  is *compatible* with these structure tensors if

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

and we refer to an *almost contact metric structure*  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ . Note also that  $\eta(X) = g(X, \xi)$ . For a general reference to the ideas of this section see [1].

Let  $M$  be an almost contact manifold and define an almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M \times \mathbf{R}$  by

$$(2.1) \quad J\left(X, f \frac{d}{dt}\right) = \left(\phi X - f\xi, \eta(X) \frac{d}{dt}\right).$$

An almost contact structure is said to be *normal* if  $J$  is integrable. If in addition to the conditions for an almost contact metric structure, we have  $d\eta(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$ , the structure is a *contact metric structure*, in particular if  $\dim M = 2n + 1$ ,  $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0$ . Then a *Sasakian manifold* is a normal contact metric manifold. It is well known that the Sasakian condition may be expressed as an almost contact metric structure satisfying

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X,$$

again see e.g. [1].

More generally one has the notion of an  $\alpha$ -*Sasakian structure* [5] which may be defined by the requirement

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \alpha(g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X)$$

where  $\alpha$  is a non-zero constant. Setting  $Y = \xi$  in this formula, one readily obtains

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\alpha \phi X$$

## 3. Kenmotsu manifolds

In [10] Tanno classified connected almost contact metric manifolds whose automorphism groups have the maximum dimension. For such a manifold  $M$ , the sectional curvature of plane sections containing  $\xi$  is a constant, say  $c$ . If  $c > 0$ ,  $M$  is a homogeneous Sasakian manifold of constant  $\phi$ -sectional curvature. If  $c = 0$ ,  $M$  is the product of a line or circle with a Kaehler manifold of constant holomorphic curvature. If  $c < 0$ ,  $M$  is a warped product space  $\mathbf{R} \times_f \mathbf{C}^n$ . In [6] Kenmotsu abstracted the differential geometric properties of the third case. In particular the almost contact metric structure in this case satisfies

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X$$

and an almost contact metric manifold satisfying this condition is called a *Kenmotsu manifold* [5,6]. Kenmotsu proved in particular the following result.

**Theorem.** (Kenmotsu [6]) *Let  $M$  be a Kenmotsu manifold. Then for any point  $p \in M$ , there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  which is a warped product  $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times_f V$  where  $f(t) = ce^t$  on the interval  $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  and  $V$  is a Kaehler manifold.*

Again one has the more general notion of a  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu structure [5] which may be defined by

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \beta(g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X)$$

where  $\beta$  is a non-zero constant. From the condition one may readily deduce that

$$\nabla_X \xi = \beta(X - \eta(X)\xi).$$

#### 4. Trans-Sasakian manifolds

In the classification of Gray and Hervella [3] of almost Hermitian manifolds there appears a class,  $W_4$ , of Hermitian manifolds which are closely related to locally conformally Kaehler manifolds. An almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  on  $M$  is *trans-Sasakian* [9] if  $(M \times \mathbf{R}, J, G)$  belongs to the class  $W_4$ , where  $J$  is the almost complex structure on  $M \times \mathbf{R}$  defined by (2.1) and  $G$  is the product metric on  $M \times \mathbf{R}$ . This may be expressed by the condition

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \alpha(g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X) + \beta(g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X)$$

for functions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  on  $M$ , and we shall say that the trans-Sasakian structure is of *type*  $(\alpha, \beta)$ ; in particular, it is normal and it generalizes both  $\alpha$ -Sasakian and  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu structures. From the formula one easily obtains

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\alpha\phi X + \beta(X - \eta(X)\xi),$$

$$(\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = -\alpha g(\phi X, Y) + \beta(g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)),$$

$$(\nabla_X \Phi)(Y, Z) = \alpha(g(X, Z)\eta(Y) - g(X, Y)\eta(Z)) - \beta(g(X, \phi Z)\eta(Y) - g(X, \phi Y)\eta(Z))$$

where  $\Phi$  is the fundamental 2-form of the structure, given by  $\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$ . Hence

$$(\nabla_X \Phi)(X, \xi) = -\alpha, (\nabla_X \eta)(X) = \beta$$

for  $X$  orthogonal to  $\xi$ , and  $g(X, X) = 1$ . Then

$$\delta\Phi(\xi) = 2n\alpha, \delta\eta = -2n\beta$$

where  $\delta$  is the codifferential of  $g$  and  $\dim M = 2n + 1$ . Moreover  $d\eta = \alpha\Phi$ . If  $\alpha$  is a non-zero constant,  $\Phi$  is closed and one has (cf. [1], p.53)

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_X \phi)Y, Z) &= d\eta(\phi Y, X)\eta(Z) - d\eta(\phi Z, X)\eta(Y) \\ &= \alpha g(X, Y)\eta(Z) - \alpha g(X, Z)\eta(Y) \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \alpha(g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X)$$

Thus  $\beta = 0$  and therefore a trans-Sasakian structure of type  $(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $\alpha$  a non-zero constant is  $\alpha$ -Sasakian.

**Example.** Let  $(x, y, z)$  be cartesian coordinates on  $\mathbf{R}^3$  and put

$$\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad \eta = dz - y dx$$

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -y & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} e^x + y^2 & 0 & -y \\ 0 & e^x & 0 \\ -y & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then  $\delta\Phi(\xi) = -\frac{1}{e^x}$ ,  $\delta\eta = -1$  and  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is a trans-Sasakian structure on  $\mathbf{R}^3$  of type  $(-\frac{1}{2e^x}, \frac{1}{2})$ .

The relation between trans-Sasakian,  $\alpha$ -Sasakian and  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu structures was recently discussed by Marrero [7].

**Proposition 4.1.** (Marrero [7]) *Let  $M$  be a 3-dimensional Sasakian manifold with structure tensors  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ ,  $f > 0$  a non-constant function on  $M$  and  $\bar{g} = fg + (1 - f)\eta \otimes \eta$ . Then  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, \bar{g})$  is a trans-Sasakian structure of type  $(\frac{1}{f}, \frac{1}{2}\xi(\ln f))$ .*

**Proposition 4.2.** (Marrero [7]) *A trans-Sasakian manifold of dimension  $\geq 5$  is either  $\alpha$ -Sasakian,  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu or cosymplectic.*

## 5. A Study of $M_1 \times M_2$

Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be almost contact metric manifolds with structure tensors  $(\phi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i, g_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Define an almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M_1 \times M_2$  by

$$J(X_1, X_2) = (\phi_1 X_1 - e^{-2\mu} \eta_2(X_2)\xi_1, \phi_2 X_2 + e^{2\mu} \eta_1(X_1)\xi_2)$$

where  $\mu$  is a function on  $M_1 \times M_2$ . That  $J^2 = -I$  is easily checked. Let  $\bar{g}$  be the Riemannian metric on  $M_1 \times M_2$  defined by

$$\bar{g}((X_1, X_2), (Y_1, Y_2)) = e^{2\rho} g_1(X_1, Y_1) + e^{2\tau} g_2(X_2, Y_2)$$

where  $\rho$  and  $\tau$  are functions on  $M_1 \times M_2$ . Then  $\bar{g}$  is Hermitian with respect to  $J$ , i.e.

$$\bar{g}(J(X_1, X_2), J(Y_1, Y_2)) = \bar{g}((X_1, X_2), (Y_1, Y_2))$$

if and only if

$$\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\rho - \tau).$$

Let  $\nabla^1, \nabla^2$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}$  denote the Riemannian connections of  $g_1, g_2$  and  $\tilde{g}$  respectively. Now taking  $X_1$  and  $Y_1$  as vector fields tangent to  $M_1$  and independent of  $M_2$  and similarly for  $X_2$  and  $Y_2$  we give the connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{(X_1, 0)}(Y_1, 0) &= \\ (\nabla_{X_1}^1 Y_1 + (X_1 \rho) Y_1 + (Y_1 \rho) X_1 - g_1(X_1, Y_1) \text{grad}^1 \rho, -e^{2(\rho-\tau)} g_1(X_1, Y_1) \text{grad}^2 \rho) \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{(0, X_2)}(0, Y_2) &= \\ (-e^{2(\tau-\rho)} g_2(X_2, Y_2) \text{grad}^1 \tau, \nabla_{X_2}^2 Y_2 + (X_2 \tau) Y_2 + (Y_2 \tau) X_2 - g_2(X_2, Y_2) \text{grad}^2 \tau) \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{(X_1, 0)}(0, Y_2) &= ((Y_2 \rho) X_1, (X_1 \tau) Y_2) \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{(0, X_2)}(Y_1, 0) &= ((X_2 \rho) Y_1, (Y_1 \tau) X_2) \end{aligned}$$

Now taking  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\rho - \tau)$ , we compute the covariant derivative of  $J$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_{(X_1, 0)} J)(Y_1, 0) &= ((\nabla_{X_1}^1 \phi_1) Y_1 + (\phi_1 Y_1 \rho) X_1 \\ &\quad - (Y_1 \rho) \phi_1 X_1 - g_1(X_1, \phi_1 Y_1) \text{grad}^1 \rho \\ &\quad + g_1(X_1, Y_1) \phi_1 \text{grad}^1 \rho + e^{\rho-\tau} (\xi_2 \rho) \eta_1(Y_1) X_1 \\ (5.1) \quad &\quad - e^{\rho-\tau} (\xi_2 \rho) g_1(X_1, Y_1) \xi_1, \\ &\quad e^{\rho-\tau} (\nabla_{X_1}^1 \eta_1)(Y_1) \xi_2 \\ &\quad - e^{\rho-\tau} (Y_1 \rho) \eta_1(X_1) \xi_2 + e^{\rho-\tau} (\xi_1 \rho) g_1(X_1, Y_1) \xi_2 \\ &\quad - e^{2(\rho-\tau)} g_1(X_1, \phi_1 Y_1) \text{grad}^2 \rho + e^{2(\rho-\tau)} g_1(X_1, Y_1) \phi_2 \text{grad}^2 \rho) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_{(0, X_2)} J)(0, Y_2) &= (-e^{\tau-\rho} (\nabla_{X_2}^2 \eta_2)(Y_2) \xi_1 + e^{\tau-\rho} (Y_2 \tau) \eta_2(X_2) \xi_1 \\ &\quad - e^{\tau-\rho} (\xi_2 \tau) g_2(X_2, Y_2) \xi_1 - e^{2(\tau-\rho)} g_2(X_2, \phi_2 Y_2) \text{grad}^1 \tau \\ (5.2) \quad &\quad + e^{2(\tau-\rho)} g_2(X_2, Y_2) \phi_2 \text{grad}^1 \tau, \\ &\quad (\nabla_{X_2}^2 \phi_2) Y_2 + (\phi_2 Y_2 \tau) X_2 \\ &\quad - (Y_2 \tau) \phi_2 X_2 - g_2(X_2, \phi_2 Y_2) \text{grad}^2 \tau + g_2(X_2, Y_2) \phi_2 \text{grad}^2 \tau \\ &\quad - e^{\tau-\rho} (\xi_1 \tau) \eta_2(Y_2) X_2 + e^{\tau-\rho} (\xi_1 \tau) g_2(X_2, Y_2) \xi_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_{(X_1, 0)} J)(0, Y_2) &= (-e^{\tau-\rho} \eta_2(Y_2) \nabla_{X_1}^1 \xi - e^{\tau-\rho} (\xi_1 \rho) \eta_2(Y_2) X_1 \\ (5.3) \quad &\quad + e^{\tau-\rho} \eta_1(X_1) \eta_2(Y_2) \text{grad}^1 \rho + (\phi_2 Y_2 \rho) X_1 - (Y_2 \rho) \phi_1 X_1, \\ &\quad e^{\rho-\tau} \eta_1(X_1) \eta_2(Y_2) \text{grad}^2 \rho - e^{\rho-\tau} (Y_2 \rho) \eta_1(X_1) \xi_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_{(0, X_2)} J)(Y_1, 0) &= (-e^{\tau-\rho} \eta_1(Y_1) \eta_2(X_2) \text{grad}^1 \tau \\ &\quad + e^{\tau-\rho} (Y_1 \tau) \eta_2(X_2) \xi_1, \\ (5.4) \quad &\quad e^{\rho-\tau} \eta_1(Y_1) \nabla_{X_2}^2 \xi_2 + e^{\rho-\tau} (\xi_2 \tau) \eta_1(Y_1) X_2 \\ &\quad - e^{\rho-\tau} \eta_1(Y_1) \eta_2(X_2) \text{grad}^2 \tau + (\phi_1 Y_1 \tau) X_2 - (Y_1 \tau) \phi_2 X_2) \end{aligned}$$

We now suppose that  $(M_1 \times M_2, J, \tilde{g})$  is Kaehlerian and study the question of  $M_1$  being trans-Sasakian. If  $M_1$  is trans-Sasakian of type  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , the first component of (5.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(g_1(X_1, Y_1)\xi_1 - \eta_1(Y_1)X_1) + \beta(g_1(\phi_1 X_1, Y_1)\xi_1 - \eta_1(Y_1)\phi_1 X_1) \\ + (\phi_1 Y_1 \rho)X_1 - (Y_1 \rho)\phi_1 X_1 - g_1(X_1, \phi_1 Y_1)\text{grad}^1 \rho \\ + g_1(X_1, Y_1)\phi_1 \text{grad}^1 \rho + e^{\rho-\tau}(\xi_2 \rho)\eta_1(Y_1)X_1 \\ - e^{\rho-\tau}(\xi_2 \rho)g_1(X_1, Y_1)\xi_1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $X_1 = Y_1$  and orthogonal to  $\xi_1$ , the  $\xi_1$ -component yields

$$(5.5) \quad \xi_2 \rho = \alpha e^{\tau-\rho}$$

Setting  $Y_1 = \xi_1$  and taking  $X_1$  orthogonal to  $\xi_1$  we then have

$$(5.6) \quad \xi_1 \rho = -\beta$$

Setting  $X_1 = \xi_1$  and  $Y_2 = \xi_2$  in the first component of (5.3) we obtain

$$(5.7) \quad \text{grad}^1 \rho = (\xi_1 \rho)\xi_1$$

Conversely if  $\text{grad}^1 \rho = -\beta\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2 \rho = \alpha e^{\tau-\rho}$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are functions on  $M_1$ , it is easy to see that  $(\nabla_{X_1}^1 \phi_1)Y_1 = \alpha(g_1(X_1, Y_1)\xi_1 - \eta_1(Y_1)X_1) + \beta(g_1(\phi_1 X_1, Y_1)\xi_1 - \eta_1(Y_1)\phi_1 X_1)$ . Note also from the second component of (5.3) we have immediately that  $\text{grad}^2 \rho = (\xi_2 \rho)\xi_2$ . Thus we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Suppose that  $(M_1 \times M_2, J, \tilde{g})$  is Kaehlerian. Then  $M_1$  is trans-Sasakian of type  $(\alpha, \beta)$  if and only if  $\text{grad}^1 \rho = -\beta\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2 \rho = \alpha e^{\tau-\rho}$  in which case  $\text{grad}^2 \rho = \alpha e^{\tau-\rho}\xi_2$ .*

*If  $\beta = 0$ , it follows from (5.6) and (5.7) that  $\rho$  is independent of  $M_1$  and we have the following corollary.*

**Corollary.** *Suppose that  $(M_1 \times M_2, J, \tilde{g})$  is Kaehlerian and  $\alpha$  is a non-zero constant. Then  $M_1$  is  $\alpha$ -Sasakian if and only if  $\text{grad}^1 \rho = 0$  and  $\xi_2 \rho = \alpha e^{\tau-\rho}$ .*

**Remark.** Suppose that  $M_1$  is  $\alpha$ -Sasakian and let  $X_1$  be a local coordinate field on  $M_1$ . Then  $0 = X_1 \xi_2 \rho = \alpha e^{\tau-\rho}(X_1 \tau)$  and hence  $\tau$  is also independent of  $M_1$ . Thus we have that if  $(M_1 \times M_2, J, \tilde{g})$  is Kaehlerian and  $M_1$  is  $\alpha$ -Sasakian,  $M_2$  cannot be  $\alpha$ -Sasakian for any constant; for then  $\rho$  and  $\tau$  would also be independent of  $M_2$  and hence constant on  $M_1 \times M_2$ . This would then give  $\alpha = 0$  on  $M_1$  by (5.5), a contradiction.

Similarly to Proposition 5.1 we have the following.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Suppose that  $(M_1 \times M_2, J, \bar{g})$  is Kaehlerian; then  $M_2$  is trans-Sasakian of type  $(\alpha, \beta)$  if and only if  $\xi_1\tau = -\alpha e^{\rho-\tau}$  and  $\text{grad}^2\tau = -\beta\xi_2$ .*

**Corollary.** *Suppose that  $(M_1 \times M_2, J, \bar{g})$  is Kaehlerian; then  $M_2$  is  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu if and only if  $\xi_1\tau = 0$  and  $\text{grad}^2\tau = -\beta\xi_2$ .*

Now, let us consider again the almost contact metric manifolds  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  and the almost Hermitian manifold  $(M_1 \times M_2, J, \bar{g})$ . Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{grad}^1\rho &= -\beta_1\xi_1, \text{grad}^2\rho = \alpha_1 e^{\tau-\rho}\xi_2 \\ \text{grad}^1\tau &= -\alpha_2 e^{\rho-\tau}\xi_1, \text{grad}^2\tau = -\beta_2\xi_2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_1, \beta_1$  are functions on  $M_1$  and  $\alpha_2, \beta_2$  are functions on  $M_2$ . If  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are trans-Sasakian then it is seen directly that all the components of (5.1)-(5.4) vanish, giving the following result.

**Proposition 5.3.** *If one of the following three conditions is satisfied, the other two are equivalent:*

- (a)  $(M_1 \times M_2, J, \bar{g})$  is Kaehlerian
- (b) The structures on  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are trans-Sasakian (of types  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$  and  $(\alpha_2, \beta_2)$  respectively)
- (c)  $\text{grad}^1\rho = -\beta_1\xi_1, \text{grad}^2\rho = \alpha_1 e^{\tau-\rho}\xi_2, \text{grad}^1\tau = -\alpha_2 e^{\rho-\tau}\xi_1, \text{grad}^2\tau = -\beta_2\xi_2$ .

We now turn to our main result.

**Theorem.** *Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be almost contact metric manifolds and  $U$  a coordinate neighborhood on  $M_2$  such that  $\xi_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ . Consider the change of metric  $\bar{g} = e^{2\rho}g_1 + e^{2\tau}g_2$  on  $M_1 \times U$  given by*

$$\rho = \ln(k - \frac{\alpha}{\beta} e^{-\beta t}), \tau = -\beta t$$

where  $\alpha \neq 0, \beta \neq 0$  and  $k$  are constants such that  $\rho$  is defined on  $U$ . Then  $(M_1 \times U, J, \bar{g})$  is Kaehlerian if and only if the structure on  $M_1$  is  $\alpha$ -Sasakian and the structure on  $U$  is a  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu.

*Proof:* First note that  $\xi_2\rho = \alpha e^{\tau-\rho}$  and  $\xi_2\tau = -\beta$ . Now suppose that the structure on  $M_1 \times U$  is Kaehlerian. Then from the first component of (5.1) we see that  $M_1$  is  $\alpha$ -Sasakian. Now in the first component of (5.3) choose  $Y_2$  orthogonal to  $\xi_2$ ; then  $(\phi_2 Y_2 \rho)X_1 - (Y_2 \rho)\phi_1 X_1 = 0$  from which we have  $Y_2 \rho = 0$ . Therefore

$$0 = \frac{\alpha e^{-\beta t}}{e^\rho} (Y_2 t)$$

giving  $Y_2 t = 0$  and hence  $Y_2 \tau = 0$ . Thus

$$\text{grad}^2\tau = -\beta\xi_2.$$

Now using the second component of (5.2) we have

$$(\nabla_{X_2}^2 \phi_2)Y_2 = \beta(g_2(\phi_2 X_2, Y_2)\xi_2 - \eta_2(Y_2)\phi_2 X_2),$$

i.e. the structure on  $U$  is  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu.

Conversely since the structure on  $U$  is  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu,  $\nabla_{X_2}^2 \xi_2 = \beta(X_2 - \eta_2(X_2)\xi_2)$  from which  $d\eta_2 = 0$ . Thus the subbundle  $\eta_2 = 0$  is integrable. Therefore  $Y_2 t = 0$  for any vector field  $Y_2$  orthogonal to  $\xi_2$  and hence

$$\text{grad}^2 \tau = -\beta \xi_2$$

and

$$\text{grad}^2 \rho = \alpha e^{\tau - \rho} \xi_2.$$

Moreover  $\text{grad}^1 \rho = \text{grad}^1 \tau = 0$ . Now, by using Proposition 5.3 we see that  $(M_1 \times U, J, \bar{g})$  is Kaehlerian. ■

**Remarks.** 1. The conformal change  $\rho = \tau = t_2$  gives  $M_1$  Sasakian and  $U$   $(-1)$ -Kenmotsu which means that  $(\phi_2, -\xi_2, -\eta_2, g_2)$  is a Kenmotsu structure. The choice  $\rho = \ln(k - e^{-t_2}), \tau = -t_2$  gives  $M_1$  Sasakian and  $U$  Kenmotsu directly.

2. The fact that the theorem is local in regard to the second manifold  $M_2$  is not unnatural. Even for  $M_1 \times \mathbf{R}$ , the 1-dimensional case for  $M_2$ , note that the Hopf manifold  $S^{2n+1} \times S^1$  is locally conformally Kaehler but not globally conformally Kaehler.

## References

1. BLAIR, D.E., "Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry," Lecture Notes in Mathematics 509, Springer, 1976.
2. CAPURSI, M., Some remarks on the product of two almost contact manifolds, *An. Sti. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" XXX* (1984), 75-79.
3. GRAY A. AND HERVELLA, L.M., The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants, *Ann. Math. Pura Appl.*, (4) 123 (1980), 35-58.
4. IANUS, S. AND SMARANDA, D., Some remarkable structures on the product of an almost contact metric manifold with the real line, Papers from the National Colloquium on Geometry and Topology, Univ. Timisoara (1977), 107-110.
5. JANSSENS, D. AND VANHECKE, L., Almost contact structures and curvature tensors, *Kōdai Math. J.* 4 (1981), 1-27.
6. KENMOTSU, K., A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds, *Tōhoku Math. J.* 24 (1972), 93-103.

7. MARRERO, J.C., The local structure of trans-Sasakian manifolds (to appear).
8. MORIMOTO, A., On normal almost contact structures, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 15 (1963), 420-436.
9. OUBIÑA, J.A., New classes of almost contact metric structures, *Publicaciones Mathematicae Debrecen* 32 (1985), 187-193.
10. TANNO, S., The automorphism groups of almost contact Riemannian manifolds, *Tôhoku Math. J.* 21 (1969), 21-38.

D.E. Blair: Department of Mathematics  
Michigan State University  
East Lansing, Michigan 48824  
U.S.A.

J.A. Oubiña : Departamento de Geometría y Topología  
Facultad de Matemáticas  
Universidad de Santiago de Compostela  
Santiago de Compostela  
SPAIN

Rebut el 7 de Juliol de 1989